Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mojtaba Hoseini-Ghahfarokhi, University of Montreal Hospital Centre (CRCHUM), Canada

REVIEWED BY Simone Ringer, University of Zurich, Switzerland Sandra Wenger, Kleintierpraxis Laupeneck, Switzerland Meng Dai, Fourth Military Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Martina Mosing m.mosing@vetmeduni.ac.at

RECEIVED 09 April 2023 ACCEPTED 22 January 2024 PUBLISHED 13 March 2024

CITATION

Wong AM, Lum HY, Musk GC, Hyndman TH, Waldmann AD, Monks DJ, Bowden RS and Mosing M (2024) Electrical impedance tomography in anaesthetised chickens (*Gallus domesticus*). *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1202931. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1202931

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wong, Lum, Musk, Hyndman, Waldmann, Monks, Bowden and Mosing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Electrical impedance tomography in anaesthetised chickens (*Gallus domesticus*)

Adrian M. Wong¹, Hei Y. Lum¹, Gabrielle C. Musk^{1,2}, Timothy H. Hyndman¹, Andreas D. Waldmann³, Deborah J. Monks⁴, Ross S. Bowden¹ and Martina Mosing^{1,5*}

¹School of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Perth, WA, Australia, ²Animal Care Services, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia, ³Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Rostock University Medical Centre, Rostock, Germany, ⁴Brisbane Bird and Exotics Veterinary Service, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ⁵Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Intensive Care, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

The applicability of electrical impedance tomography (EIT) in birds is unknown. This study aimed to evaluate the use of EIT in anaesthetised chickens in four recumbency positions. Four adult Hyline chickens were anaesthetised with isoflurane in oxygen, and intubated endotracheally for computed tomography (CT). A rubber belt was placed around the coelom caudal to the shoulder joint. A chicken-specific finite element (FE) model, which is essential to generate anatomically accurate functional EIT images for analysis, was constructed based on the CT images obtained at the belt level. Ten additional chickens were anaesthetised with the same protocol. An EIT electrode belt was placed at the same location. The chickens were breathing spontaneously and positioned in dorsal, ventral, right and left lateral recumbency in a randomised order. For each recumbency, raw EIT data were collected over 2 min after 13 min of stabilisation. The data were reconstructed into functional EIT images. EIT variables including tidal impedance variation (TIV), centre of ventilation right to left (CoV_{RI}) and ventral to dorsal (CoV_{VD}), right to left (RL) ratio, impedance change (Δ Z) and eight regional impedance changes including the dorsal, central-dorsal, central-ventral and ventral regions of the right and left regions were analysed. Four breathing patterns (BrP) were observed and categorised based on the expiratory curve. A linear mixed model was used to compare EIT variables between recumbencies. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the frequencies of breathing patterns for each recumbency. The ΔZ observed was synchronous to ventilation, and represented tidal volume of the cranial air sacs as confirmed by CT. Significant differences were found in CoV_{VD} and regional impedance changes between dorsal and ventral recumbencies (P < 0.05), and in CoV_{RL}, RL ratio and regional impedance changes between right and left recumbencies (P < 0.05), which suggested a tendency for the distribution of ventilation to shift towards nondependent air sacs. No differences were found for TIV and respiratory rate between recumbencies. Recumbency had a significant effect on the frequencies of each of the four BrPs (P = 0.001). EIT can monitor the magnitude and distribution of ventilation of the cranial air sacs in different recumbencies in anaesthetised chickens.

KEYWORDS

air sacs, avian, birds, breathing pattern, distribution of ventilation, recumbency, ventilation

1 Introduction

General anaesthesia is an essential aspect of avian medicine and is routinely used for diagnostic purposes due to their non-compliant nature, and for surgical procedures to produce unconsciousness, muscle relaxation and analgesia to maintain patient welfare against nociceptive stimulations (1). General anaesthesia is however associated with higher mortality rates (2, 3) than what has been reported in dogs, cats, rabbits and horses (4, 5).

Depression of the respiratory system during general anaesthesia of birds has been proposed as a risk factor for anaesthesia-related morbidity and mortality, as they have high metabolic requirements that require efficient gas exchange (6). Alterations to blood gases such as hypercapnia and hypoxaemia can lead to acid-base derangements and tissue hypoxia, respectively. Peri-anaesthetic monitoring of the respiratory system is therefore crucial to allow recognition of complications and timely interventions to minimise patient morbidity and mortality (7). In mammals, monitoring of the respiratory system during anaesthesia is usually achieved with the use of capnography, pulse oximetry, blood gas analysis and occasionally spirometry. However, accuracy of capnography has been shown to be variable in birds (8), pulse oximeters are not calibrated for birds (1) and spirometry is rarely used in a clinical setting. Techniques to evaluate the avian respiratory system accurately is lacking.

Electrical impedance tomography (EIT) is a non-invasive realtime imaging modality that has gained considerable interest in veterinary medicine for respiratory monitoring over the last decade (9). A belt with 16 to 32 equidistant electrodes is placed around the thorax and a non-perceivable alternating current (AC) is applied to the body through pairs of electrodes with the voltage measured by the rest. As air and tissue have different conductivity, the impedance of the thorax changes throughout the respiratory cycle. The signals obtained by EIT are termed impedance change (ΔZ) and are measured in arbitrary units (AU).

The EIT data obtained by the boundary voltage measurements can be reconstructed into functional images, using a speciesspecific finite element (FE) model (10, 11). A FE model is constructed based on a transverse image of the thorax levelled at the proposed belt position, typically by computed tomography (CT). Within the tomographic image, the outlines of specific organs such as the lungs and cardiac silhouette can be digitally segmented as regions of interest (ROI). The contours of these ROIs are then used to generate the FE model. By mapping the precise thoracic anatomy of the species of interest, anatomically accurate EIT images can be generated (12). With these functional EIT images, the distribution of ventilation within the lungs can be quantified on a breath-to-breath basis in real time (13-16); a feature distinct to other respiratory monitoring technologies. Additionally, EIT can estimate tidal volume based on its linear relationship with ΔZ (17–20), also termed tidal impedance variation (TIV) (21). Further comprehensive information on the technical background and veterinary application of EIT can be referred to the thoracic EIT veterinary consensus statement (9).

There are substantial differences between the avian and mammalian respiratory systems. The primary difference is that in birds, there is a functional separation of ventilation and gas exchange. The compliable air sacs are not involved in gas exchange. They are responsible for generating tidal flow that maintains unidirectional air flow through the parabronchi (tertiary bronchi) at both inspiration and expiration. From the parabronchi, air moves through atria, infundibula and into air capillaries, where the majority of gas exchange occurs (6, 22). When referring to the avian lung, it is to be referred to the gas exchange component of the avian respiratory system, which does not include the air sacs. During inspiration, the negative pressure within the expanded cranial air sacs drives air flow through the non-compliable parabronchi, and during expiration, the positive pressure within the caudal air sacs again drives air flow unidirectionally through the parabronchi (6, 22) (Figure 1). This arrangement allows a much more efficient extraction of oxygen when compared to mammals, sustaining their innately high metabolic rate (1, 23, 24).

It has been proposed that ΔZ in the mammalian lung represents the stretch and deformation of epithelial cells, blood, capillaries and extra-capillary blood vessels secondary to alveolar expansion, instead of the volume of gas gained during inspiration (25, 26). Conversely in birds, the air sacs are only thinly lined with simple squamous epithelial cells and contain minimal blood vessels (27). It is therefore uncertain whether ΔZ is present in the avian air sacs.

Given the anatomical and physiological differences in the respiratory system of mammals and birds, it is unknown if EIT can be used to monitor the respiratory system of birds. This study will be the first to document the application of EIT in this class of vertebrates.

The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of EIT to monitor ventilation in chickens. The first objective was to verify that the ΔZ can be measured and is synchronous to breathing. The second objective was to identify the anatomic structures that generate the ΔZ . The third objective was to evaluate its ability to detect differences in EIT variables when challenged by a change in recumbency. It was hypothesised that EIT can measure ΔZ in synchronicity with ventilation in the air sacs but not the lungs, and that EIT can detect differences of EIT variables when chickens are placed into different recumbencies. The secondary aim of the study was to report the EIT variables in the four recumbencies. It was hypothesised that recumbencies will significantly affect EIT variables.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Ethical approval

This study was approved by the animal ethics committee of Murdoch University (permit number R3074/18).

2.2 Animals

Fourteen, 14-month-old adult female Hyline chickens were included in this study. The mean (\pm SD) body weight was 1.89 kg \pm 0.36 kg.

Sample size calculation was not possible as no prior data were available, due to the novelty of the topic in birds. Furthermore, the recruited population was a convenience sample. These chickens were initially involved in an unrelated observational study at the



inspiration. The negative pressure within the expanded cranial air sacs drives gas flow through the parabronchi unidirectionally. (B) Expiration. The air sacs contract and empty the tidal gas during expiration. The positive pressure within the caudal air sacs drives gas flow through the parabronchi unidirectionally.

same institute and were included in this study after finishing the initial study but before euthanasia. The chickens were housed in a dedicated holding facility, with access to food and water until the evening prior to the study. The general wellbeing of the chickens was assessed and confirmed by a veterinarian once daily based on general demeanour, appearance (e.g., gait, feather and skin condition), food intake, and egg and faecal production.

2.3 Anaesthesia

Each chicken was restrained in a towel and positioned in sternal recumbency. Anaesthesia was induced with isoflurane (vaporiser setting of 5%) (IsoFlo, Zoetis, Australia) in oxygen administered through a face mask. Fresh gas flow with 100% oxygen was set to 3 L/min. Orotracheal intubation was then performed using a cuffed 3.5 mm internal diameter endotracheal tube, once the chickens were adequately anaesthetised. Cuffed endotracheal tubes were used in this study to avoid leakage of gas and therefore to facilitate an inspiratory hold for CT. It should be noted that uncuffed tubes are typically recommended for birds due to their complete tracheal rings, and the associated risk of mucosal and tracheal damage (6). The endotracheal tube was attached to a paediatric breathing system for the chickens that underwent CT, and to a Mapleson D non-rebreathing system (Bain system) for the chickens that underwent the study protocol. Anaesthesia was maintained with isoflurane (vaporizer setting of 2%) in 100% oxygen with a fresh gas flow rate of 1-2 L/min. The chickens breathed spontaneously. Standard physiological variables including heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), side-stream end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂), peripheral haemoglobin saturation of oxygen (S_PO₂), and cloacal temperature (T), were continuously monitored by a dedicated coinvestigator during anaesthesia using a multiparameter monitor (SurgiVet Advisor Vital Signs Multiparameter Monitor, Smiths Medical, NSW, Australia).

At the end of the study period, each anaesthetised chicken was euthanised with pentobarbitol 160 mg/kg (Valabarb, Jurox, NSW, Australia) administered directly into the brachial vein. Asystole was confirmed by auscultation.

2.4 CT and FE model construction

Four of the fourteen chickens underwent CT (Aquilion Lightning 80, Canon Medical Systems) of the coelom in dorsal recumbency. A sham EIT belt consisting of a rubber tube was placed 5 cm caudal to the thoracic inlet, at the cranial point of the sternum, behind the shoulder joint and beneath the wings.

The CT images (Settings: 0.5 mm slice, 120 kV, dynamic mA, pitch 0.813) were obtained during the end-inspiratory and end-expiratory period. End-inspiratory images were obtained during inspiratory hold, by manually closing the adjustable pressure-limiting valve and gently squeezing the rebreathing bag to a positive pressure of 5 cmH₂O. Following inspiratory hold, the chickens would consistently enter a temporary period of apnoea, which facilitated the end-expiratory images to be obtained. After the CT, the chickens were euthanised as described.

For each chicken, the end-inspiratory tomographic image at the level of the sham belt was used as a reference for the initial ROI segmentation (Figure 2A). The outer body contour, lungs, cardiac silhouette, air sacs, trachea bifurcation and oesophagus were identified as ROIs using a dedicated software (ITK-SNAP) (28) (Figure 2B). Based on the technique described in mammals, the chicken-specific finite element (FE) model was constructed using the mean contours of the outer contour, lungs and cardiac silhouette as ROIs from the four chickens (Figures 2C, D), using a programming software (Matlab 2017b, Mathworks) (29, 30). Adaptation to include the cranial air sacs into the model was deemed not possible based on the complex superimposition of the air sacs and the cardiac silhouette between inspiration and expiration (Figures 3A, B).

As per convention, the mean contours of the outer body contour, cardiac silhouette, and lungs from the four chickens were then calculated and aligned to construct the corresponding mean FE model using a programming software (Matlab 2017b, Mathworks) (Figure 2D).

Additionally, the movement and changes of the organs within the coelom during the respiratory cycle were evaluated by CT imaging. The segmentation process as described was repeated for the expiratory tomographic images of the coelom. The mean contours during inspiration and the mean contours during expiration were graphically superimposed, allowing visual comparison of the changes to the ROI contours within the coelom during the respiratory cycle. The changes were descriptively analysed.

2.5 Data collection

Ten of the fourteen recruited chickens underwent the study protocol with the EIT electrode belt. The EIT belt was constructed for a previous study in lambs (31). The belt included 32 equidistantly placed electrodes on an elastic woven band (Figure 4A). Each electrode had 12 gold plated pin arrays to allow adequate skin contact (Figure 4B).

A circumferential band of feathers ~4 cm wide was first removed from the thorax caudal to the shoulder joint, at the level of the cranial sternum (Figure 4B). Low-conductive ultrasound gel was generously applied onto each electrode and onto the skin of the thorax before the belt was positioned around the thorax. The belt was placed 5 cm caudal to the thoracic inlet, at the cranial point of the sternum, behind the shoulder joints and beneath the wings. A stay suture was placed at the cranial point of the sternum to prevent the belt from slipping during recumbency changes. The belt was manually checked to be of suitable tightness around the coelom to minimise interference to breathing, by observing adequate coelom inflation and deflation during tidal ventilation. Sufficient skin contact between the belt and the coelom was also ensured, using the inbuilt function of the EIT software that highlights adequate electrode contact and contact failure (BB Vet SW, SenTec, Switzerland).

The chickens were placed in all four recumbency positions (dorsal, ventral, right lateral and left lateral) in a randomised order. The chickens remained in each recumbency position



for 15 min: 13 min of stabilisation followed by 2 min of EIT data recording using the EIT software (BB Vet SW, SenTec, Switzerland). The EIT system applies an alternating current of 192 kHz at an amplitude of 5 mA, in a rotating sequence at 48 frames per second. Monitoring variables (HR, RR, ETCO₂, S_PO_2 , T) were manually recorded at the beginning of each EIT recording.

2.6 Post-hoc data analysis

Analyses of the EIT data were performed using an EIT analytical software (Ibex, Sentec AG, EIT branch, Switzerland). The raw EIT data were reconstructed into anatomically accurate functional EIT images using the chicken FE model which was built according to the modified Graz consensus reconstruction algorithm for EIT (GREIT) (10, 11).

EIT data from six to ten consecutive artefact-free breaths were analysed from the 2-min recording during each recumbency position.

After the initial EIT data analysis, it became apparent that in contrast to mammals, no impedance changes between inspiration and expiration appeared within the lung ROI. The ΔZ signals were instead located in the area ventral to the lung ROI, which corresponded to the area of the cranial air sacs and the cardiac silhouette based on CT. As adaptation of the FE model was deemed not possible, analyses of the following EIT variables were computed using only the outer contour of the initial FE model:

1. The centre of ventilation (CoV) (%), which describes the geometric focal point of overall ventilation as a percentage,

from the right-to-left direction (CoV_{RL}) or the ventral-todorsal direction (CoV_{VD}). the CoV percentage represents the extension of ventilation towards the respective direction. for instance, CoV_{RL} of 0% indicates ventilation occurred predominantly in the right coelom, and 100% indicates ventilation occurred predominantly in the left region. CoV_{VD} of 0% indicates ventilation occurred predominantly in the ventral part of coelom, and 100% indicates ventilation occurred predominantly in the dorsal (9).

- 2. The right to left ratio (RL ratio), describes the impedance changes associated with the right (ΔZv_R) and the left (ΔZv_L) side of the coelom. The ratio is then calculated as $\Delta Zv_R/\Delta Zv_L$ (9).
- 3. Distribution of ventilation within regions (%). the global outer contour is separated into four staggered regions of area in both left and right side: dorsal (ΔZR_D , ΔZL_D), central-dorsal (ΔZR_{CD} , ΔZL_{CD}), central-ventral (ΔZR_{CV} , ΔZL_{CV}) and ventral (ΔZR_V , ΔZL_V) regions for both the left (L) and right (R) side. each region is expressed as a percentage of the total ΔZ , where the sum of all eight regions is 100% (9).
- 4. Tidal impedance variation (TIV) in arbitrary units (AU), a surrogate for tidal volume in mammals. It represents the global ΔZ measured between the start and end of inspiration. The TIV is therefore calculated by subtracting the impedance at the end of inspiration from the impedance at the start of inspiration (9).
- 5. Inspiratory time (s). This parameter is calculated by the time difference in seconds between the start and end of inspiration of the same breath.

During data collection, distinctive breathing patterns were observed when the chickens were placed into different recumbencies. In certain positions, some chickens demonstrated a



temporary pause during the process of exhalation. This distinction between different patterns could be identified on the expiratory limb of the impedance curve. The temporary pause during exhalation parallels a momentary plateau in the impedance signal. Based on these observations, breathing patterns (BrP) were classified into four categories (BrP1-4) based on the shape of the expiratory limb of the impedance curve, the presence of the pause, and the timing of the pause during expiration are detailed in



FIGURE 4

(A) The EIT belt utilised in the study, with 32 equidistant electrodes fitted in an elastic belt. Each electrode consisted of 12 gold plated pin arrays. (B) Photographic image of one chicken in the experimental protocol, positioned in dorsal recumbency, with a circumferential band of feathers removed and the EIT belt positioned just caudal to the shoulders.

Figure 5. Each chicken was only classified to have a specific BrP at each specific recumbency position when at least 95% of all analysed breaths fell into one of the four defined categories.

2.7 Statistics

Data were analysed with Stata (version 16.1, StataCorp). Normality of outcome variables were assessed by the Shapiro-Wilks test. The mean values of the outcome variables including CoV_{RL} , CoV_{VD} , RL ratio, regions of ventilation (ΔZR_D , ΔZR_{CD} , ΔZR_{CV} , ΔZR_V , ΔZL_D , ΔZL_{CD} , ΔZL_{CV} , ΔZL_V) in percentage relative to total ΔZ , TIV, the inspiratory time and respiratory rate at each recumbency were included in the statistical analyses. The outcome variables were visually inspected for outliers. None were found.

For each outcome variable, except for ΔZL_{CV} , a linear mixed model with a chicken-specific random intercept was fitted to the data. Autocorrection function plots of the residuals confirmed no autoregressive pattern in the data. For ΔZL_{CV} , a fixed-effect linear model was used (as a likelihood ratio test confirmed no differences to a linear mixed model) and the addition of an autoregressive correlation structure to the residuals was not required. The four recumbency positions were included as covariates for all outcome variables. A likelihood ratio test was used to confirm that each chicken's previous recumbency position did not need to be included as a covariate. The final linear mixed model for each outcome variable used restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation. Quantile-normal plots were used to assess the normality of the distribution of the residuals once the models were fitted for each outcome variable. Plots comparing the standardised model residuals to the fitted values were used to visually inspect for highly influential observed values; none were found. Wald tests were used to compare recumbency positions for each parameter. No adjustments were made to the p-values. $P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

For the analysis of the breathing patterns, the number of chickens demonstrating each of the four categories, at each recumbency, were counted. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the number of chickens per category between recumbencies. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

All fourteen recruited chickens completed their respective procedures: CT (n = 4) and EIT study protocol (n = 10). General anaesthesia for all chickens was uneventful. In one chicken, the EIT data from left lateral recumbency was excluded as no data was recorded due to artefactual signal interference. Therefore, ten sets of data were available for dorsal, ventral and right lateral recumbencies, and nine sets of data were available for left lateral recumbency. No issues were encountered in the physical application of the EIT belt. No skin damage or irritation was noted from the belt placement or the use of low-conductive ultrasound gel.

Results for all physiological variables recorded during the EIT study protocol are presented in Table 1 as medians and interquartile ranges.

3.1 CT analysis

The tomographic image slice corresponded to the level of the sham belt (Figure 6). The organs transacted at this



TABLE 1 Physiologic variables (presented as medians and inter-quartile ranges) of the isoflurane-anaesthetised chickens in dorsal, ventral, right and left lateral recumbency.

	Recumbency position				
Variable	Dorsal	Ventral	Right lateral	Left lateral	
HR (beats/min)	247 (229, 321)	217 (199, 263)	232.5 (221, 273)	225.5 (197, 272)	
RR (breaths/min)	8 (7, 11)	10 (8, 12)	8.5 (7, 12)	8 (7, 11)	
ETCO ₂ (mmHg)	53.5 (49, 63)	38 (33, 46)	36 (28, 42)	40 (34, 58)	
S _p O ₂ (%)	98 (98, 99)	99 (99, 99)	98.5 (98, 99)	99 (99, 99)	
Cloacal T (°C)	39.8 (38.8, 40.1)	39.2 (39, 40.3)	39.15 (38.1, 39.6)	38.6 (37.8, 39.7)	

HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; ETCO₂, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide; S_PO₂, peripheral haemoglobin saturation with oxygen; T, temperature.

level were visually identified and included the cardiac silhouette, the lungs, the cranial air sacs group which itself comprised of the clavicular air sac and the bilateral paired cranial thoracic air sac, tracheal bifurcation and the oesophagus (32).

During visual comparison of the inspiratory and expiratory phases, the body contour and skeletal structures remained static in position and size (Figures 3A, B). The mean area of the lungs was 7.7% larger during inspiration than in expiration, as measured by an online area calculator (SketchAndCal, Icalc Inc). The area of the air sacs was also increased at inspiration when compared to expiration. The area of the cardiac silhouette was decreased at inspiration when compared to expiration. This observation was consistent in all four chickens.

3.2 EIT signal analysis

The application of EIT for real-time ventilation monitoring in chickens was possible when using the purpose-designed electrode belt and EIT software. On visual inspection, the impedance change over time plotted by the EIT software was synchronous with inspiration and the manually recorded respiratory rate. It was therefore determined that the recorded ΔZ was due to



ventilation and represented the TIV. These cyclical changes in ΔZ were observed for all breaths in all chickens. Small fluttering signals were observed superimposing on the impedance-time curve in all chickens (Figure 3). The frequency of these signals was synchronous to the heart rate and represented cardiac-related signals. These cardiac-related signals were minuscule in magnitude and did not affect recognition of the TIV by the EIT software during analysis.

The EIT data were successfully reconstructed into an atomically accurate functional tomographic images using the outer contour of the chicken-specific FE model. On these functional images, no ΔZ signal was observed in the lung ROI throughout the respiratory cycle. Based on comparison between the EIT images using the FE model and the corresponding CT images, the EIT signals situated in the area ventral to the lung ROI represented ΔZ in the area of the cranial air sacs and the cardiac silhouette instead (Figure 2E). As the cardiac-related signals were only minimal, the ΔZ recorded predominantly represented the TIV of the cranial air sacs.

3.3 Distribution of ventilation

The use of EIT in chickens demonstrated good responsiveness to recumbency changes, detecting significant changes in the distribution of ventilation. All results and significant changes between the four recumbencies are presented in Table 2.

3.4 Tidal impedance variation, respiratory rate and inspiratory time

No significant difference was found in TIV and RR across all four recumbencies (Tables 1, 2). No significant difference was found in the inspiratory time between dorsal and ventral recumbencies, nor between right and left lateral recumbencies. Inspiratory time in dorsal recumbency was significantly higher than in right lateral recumbency (P = 0.006).

	Recumbency position				
Variable	Dorsal	Ventral	Right lateral	Left lateral	
CoV _{RL} (%)	48.8 (47.6–50.1) ^{a,b}	48.4 (47.2–49.7) ^c	51.9 (50.7–53.1) ^{a,c,d}	46.9 (45.6–48.2) ^{b,d}	
CoV _{VD} (%)	52.3 (51.1–53.5) ^{a,b}	55.0 (53.8–56.2) ^{a,c}	53.1 (51.9–54.3) ^c	54.2 (53.0–55.5) ^b	
R:L ratio	1.20 (0.96–1.44) ^{a,b}	1.27 (1.03–1.51) ^{c,d}	0.83 (0.59–1.07) ^{a,c,e}	1.61 (1.36–1.86) ^{b,d,e}	
ΔZ_{R_V} (%)	0.31 (0.20–0.42) ^a	0.18 (0.08–0.29) ^{a,b}	0.35 (0.24–0.45) ^b	0.31 (0.20-0.42)	
$\Delta Z_{R_{CV}}$ (%)	21.3 (16.4–26.2) ^{a,b}	16.1 (11.2–21.0) ^{a,c}	16.5 (11.6–21.4) ^{b,d}	22.5 (17.5–27.4) ^{c,d}	
$\Delta Z_{R_{CD}}$ (%)	31.3 (27.5–35.0) ^{a,b}	37.5 (33.7–41.2) ^{a,c}	24.2 (20.5–27.9) ^{b,c,d}	36.0 (32.1–39.9) ^d	
ΔZ_{R_D} (%)	1.03 (0.37–1.68) ^a	1.26 (0.60–1.91) ^b	2.18 (1.52–2.84) ^{a,b}	1.85 (1.16-2.54)	
ΔZL _v (%)	0.79 (0.5–1.08) ^{a,b}	0.31 (0.02–0.60) ^{a,c,d}	0.62 (0.33–0.91) ^c	0.53 (0.24–0.83) ^{b,d}	
$\Delta Z_{L_{CV}}$ (%)	24.4 (20.9–27.9) ^{a,b}	19.6 (16.1–23.1) ^c	30.1 (26.6–33.6) ^{a,c,d}	16.5 (12.8–20.1) ^{b,d}	
$\Delta Z_{L_{CD}}$ (%)	19.6 (16.9–22.2) ^{a,b}	23.9 (21.3–26.6) ^{a,c}	23.5 (20.8–26.2) ^{b,d}	20.0 (17.3–22.8) ^{c,d}	
ΔZL _D (%)	1.33 (0.72–1.94) ^{a,b}	1.15 (0.54–1.76) ^{c,d}	2.53 (1.92–3.14) ^{a,c}	2.24 (1.60–2.88) ^{b,d}	
TIV (AU)	6.65 (5.30-8.00)	5.62 (4.27-6.96)	6.38 (5.03-7.72)	6.37 (4.98–7.76)	
Inspiratory time (s)	2.31 (1.82-2.80) ^a	2.57 (2.08-3.05)	2.70 (2.21-3.19) ^a	2.50 (2.00-2.99)	

TABLE 2 Electrical impedance tomography variables (mean and 95% confidence interval) of the coelom in isoflurane-anaesthetised chickens in dorsal, ventral, right, and left lateral recumbencies.

EIT, electrical impedance tomography; CoV_{RL} and CoV_{VD} , centre of ventilation right to left and ventral to dorsal, respectively; R_LL ratio, right to left ratio; ΔZ_R and ΔZ_L , impedance change within the right and left regions, respectively, in percentage of total impedance change; ΔZ_{R_D} , ΔZ_{R_CD} , ΔZ_{R_CD} , ΔZ_{L_CD} , ΔZ_{L_CD



FIGURE 7

The frequency of subjects that demonstrated each of the four categories of breathing pattern (BrP), as defined by the shape of the expiratory impedance time curve, in dorsal, ventral, right and left lateral recumbencies, in the ten isoflurane-anaesthetised chickens enrolled in the study protocol. One chicken in left lateral recumbency was excluded due to missing data from artefactual signal interference.

3.5 Respiratory pattern

All chickens displayed only one of the four BrP categories (Figure 5) throughout the 2 min of data collection while in a particular position of recumbency. The number of chickens with a particular BrP at each recumbency was significantly different to the frequency expected (P = 0.001) (Figure 7).

In dorsal recumbency, the majority of the chickens had either BrP1 (5/10) or BrP4 (4/10), with one chicken displaying BrP3. In ventral recumbency, most chickens had either BrP4 (4/10) or BrP3 (3/10), with two chickens displaying BrP1 and one chicken had BrP2.

In both lateral recumbencies, most chickens had BrP3 (15/19). In right lateral recumbency, one chicken each displayed BrP2 and BrP4, while the rest had BrP3 (7/9). In left lateral recumbency, two chickens had BrP2, with the rest displaying BrP3 (8/10).

4 Discussion

4.1 Summary of findings

This study has demonstrated that the technology of EIT is applicable in chickens to monitor ventilation of the cranial air sacs. Significant changes in the EIT variables of distribution of ventilation (CoV_{RL}, CoV_{VD}, RL ratio, and regional ΔZ 's) were detected when the position was changed. Significant changes in respiratory pattern were noted when chickens were moved into different recumbency positions. However, no significant differences were found in TIV and RR between the recumbency positions.

4.2 Feasibility and practical considerations

This is the first study to trial and demonstrate the application of EIT in birds. The practical decisions elected for the study design was based on previous experiences in mammals. In the past, EIT data was obtained with the use of individual electrode pads in neonates and dogs (33, 34), this has been superseded with the use of an electrode belt in veterinarian medicine as the conventional approach that conveniently ensures uniform placement of electrodes across study subjects (9). In this study, the belt placement was visually inspected at all times to ensure no belt-induced deformation of the body that may interfere with ventilation. The use of a textile EIT belt has also been shown to provide suitable and long-term use without causing discomfort and distress in preterm infants (35).

The EIT belt utilised was fitted with gold-plated pin arrays (31). Gold electrodes has been shown to provide the least skin contact impedance due to its high electrical conductivity when compared to zinc-based electrodes (36). Pin arrays electrodes were ideal for chickens to accommodate their relatively small thoracic circumference. While skin damage from pinned or spiked electrodes is a theoretical concern, this was not observed in this study, and was not reported in previous studies in dogs and steers (33, 36). However, the weight of the animal and recumbency factors may contribute to the potential risk of skin damage. The

feasibility of other electrode types in birds should be investigated in future studies.

The optimisation of EIT signals was accomplished by multiple strategies. Firstly, the feathers were depilated to maximise the electrode-skin contact. Similarly, fur clipping has been documented in previous canine studies (33). Secondly, the application of lowconductive ultrasound gel also aids the electrode-skin contact by abolishing air pockets between the electrode and the skin. Importantly, it also encourages the current to penetrate the skin and the thorax, and prevents bypass current from conducting on the skin surface between electrodes, which may otherwise occur with high conducting gel (9). Thirdly, stay sutures were used to maintain belt positioning and to prevent movement-related interference. This is however not a routine practise in other veterinary species. Further studies should evaluate the feasibility of EIT in birds without the requirement to place stay sutures and feather depilation, to improve its accessibility for client-owned birds. Ultimately, good data signals were collected in all but one of the chickens while in left lateral recumbency. A previous equine study reported electrical artefacts secondary to the use of electrocautery, but this was not utilised in this study (19). The exact cause of the signal interference in this case was unknown.

4.3 Origin of impedance signals

The impedance signals that originated from the air sacs were distinctly observed in the chickens in this study. There is contention about the origin of impedance signals. In mammals, it has been argued that ΔZ could be a result of the stretch and deformation of tissue and blood secondary to alveolar expansion, instead of an increase in gas volume (25, 26). Yet, the detection by EIT of well-defined ΔZ within the thin and poorly vascularised air sacs was shown to be possible in this study (27). This supports that the ΔZ signal could be generated from a change of gas volume rather than being solely derived from the stretching of tissue and blood (37).

4.4 Impedance signals in the avian model

The tomographic image of the thorax was essential in identifying the anatomical structures transected at the level of the EIT belt. The image showed that both the unpaired clavicular and paired cranial thoracic air sacs were clearly included. In contrast, the involvement of the cervical air sac was unlikely to be included in the image as the bulk of the cervical air sac lies cranial to the third thoracic vertebra (32). Regardless, the cervical air sac contributes minimally to ventilation and its exclusion should not affect the analysis of ventilation of the cranial air sacs (6).

The contours of the lung ROI were clearly defined on the tomographic image without any major organs overlapping, allowing segmentation to be done with precision using the dedicated software (28). No ΔZ was detected within the lung's ROI. The lack of signal is consistent with avian respiratory physiology where gas exchange and ventilation are functionally separated. During both inspiration and expiration, gas flows are driven through the lungs in a unidirectional manner by the compliant

air sacs. The lungs, where gas exchange occurs, are considered non-compliant and would therefore remain isovolumetric (6, 38). As there is no difference in gas volume within the parabronchi between the onset of inspiration and end-inspiration, it follows that no ΔZ would be detected. In this study, the area of the lungs was noted to be larger during inspiration than in expiration on CT images. While this is inconsistent with the consensus that the lungs remain static in size, it is in agreement with a previous study in ducks that used tantalum markers and radiographs to show that lung thickness cyclically fluctuated during ventilation (39). Additionally, the movement of the viscera within the coelom may have contributed to this discrepancy in lung area between inspiration and expiration, even though the belt was tethered to the external chest wall. A similar phenomenon has been reported in dogs where the movement of organs within the thorax and abdomen under the EIT belt may have contributed to variations in the EIT variables (14).

In contrast to the lungs, segmentation of the air sacs as an independent ROI for the FE model was not achievable. The dynamic superimposition of the cardiac silhouette and air sacs areas between inspiration and expiration made independent segregation of these two structures impossible. It should be noted that while the transverse CT image represents a two-dimensional slice, the EIT image actually represents a three-dimensional lensshaped slice at the level of the belt, with increasing thickness in the central region of the slice (Figure 1). Isolation and removal of the cardiac silhouette from the area of interest would exclude a considerable proportion of the impedance signals originating from the air sacs.

On the CT images, the cardiac silhouette was pushed caudally during inspiration by the inflation of cranial air sacs, towards the caudal limits of the lens-shaped slice. This finding was consistently demonstrated by the transverse end-inspiratory CT slice in which the area of the cardiac silhouette was smaller (Figure 3). Conveniently, the cranial air sacs were then located at the thickest portion of the EIT slice during inspiration. The quality and amplitude of TIV were therefore maximised, with minimal contribution of the cardiac related signals towards the overall recorded ΔZ . Based on this observation, combining the cranial air sacs and the cardiac silhouette together as one single ROI may be a possible option. A ROI that is specific to the cranial air sacs would allow a more localised assessment of the distribution of ventilation within specific regions of the cranial air sacs. The utilisation of the global contour as performed in this study will inadvertently include areas with unrelated impedance signals, and may decrease specificity of the changes in the distribution of ventilation (9).

4.5 Distribution of ventilation

The results of this study confirmed the first hypothesis that EIT can detect subtle ventilatory changes between different recumbency positions. The results also confirmed the second hypothesis that recumbency significantly affects EIT variables. Concurrently, insights are also provided into the effects of recumbency change on ventilation and its distribution. A consistent shift in ventilation towards the non-dependent area was noted. In dorsal recumbency, the CoV_{VD} , $\Delta Z_{R_{CD}}$ and $\Delta Z_{L_{CD}}$ were significantly less than that in

ventral recumbency. In lateral recumbencies, ventilation tended to shift towards the non-dependent central regions, as indicated by the significantly lower $\Delta Z_{R_{CD}}$ and $\Delta Z_{R_{CV}}$ in the right lateral than in the left lateral recumbency, and significantly higher $\Delta Z_{L_{CD}}$ and $\Delta Z_{L_{CV}}$ in the right lateral than in the left lateral recumbency (Table 2). Similar phenomena have been reported in mammalian species, including dogs (14) and rhinoceroses (40) during spontaneous ventilation. While mammals do not have air sacs, each mammalian alveolus is conceptually comparable, but functionally distinct to the air sacs as both represent the compliant structures that expand and shrink during the ventilatory cycle. These shifts in ventilation can be explained by the changes in compliance of the air sacs, attributed to factors such as a lack of diaphragm, visceral organ compressions, alteration of the body wall shape and respiratory muscle relaxation influencing the functional residual capacity within the air sacs.

In mammals, the dynamic changes in pulmonary compliance are best explained by a pressure-volume curve, which plots the volume in the pulmonary system at specific airway pressure points. The curve is typically sigmoidal in shape: at normal expanding pressure of -5 to -10 cmH₂O pressure during spontaneous ventilation, the gradient of the curve is steep, indicating good compliance; at the extremes of low and high pressure, the gradient of the curve is flat indicating low compliance (41). It is likely that in the recumbent position, the dependent portion of the air sacs shift from the steep compliant part of the pressurevolume inflation curve to the lower flat non-compliant part of the curve, thereby allowing the non-dependent portion of the air sacs to be preferentially filled. However, in one canine EIT study, recumbency affected the distribution of ventilation only when dogs were anaesthetised, not when they were conscious (14). Our study supports that general anaesthesia, perhaps by way of muscle relaxation, alters the distribution of ventilation in birds comparable to the way observed in mammals.

4.6 Tidal impedance variation

The parameter TIV (AU) has been used as a surrogate for tidal volume based on their linear relationship that has been observed in multiple domestic species (17–20). Similarly, TIV should also represent the volume of the cranial air sacs in birds, as captured by the lens shaped EIT in this study. The results in this study demonstrated no difference in the TIV of the cranial air sacs between the four recumbencies. This indicated that the total gas volume in the cranial air sacs was not influenced by a change in recumbency, despite the differences in the distribution of ventilation as described above.

Alterations in the total air sacs volume and tidal volume secondary to changes in recumbency have been documented (42–44). Up to 40%–50% decreases in tidal volume have been reported in chickens when changed from standing to dorsal recumbency (42), postulated to be due to compression of the air sacs by visceral organs. This has led to clinical recommendations to avoid dorsal recumbency in birds (1, 6). On the contrary, one study refuted this notion as a significantly greater tidal volume and greater P_aO_2 was found in dorsal recumbency than in right lateral recumbency, in anaesthetised red-tailed hawks (44). Other authors also suggest the avoidance of ventral recumbency to minimise

restriction of the keel movement (42, 43, 45, 46). In the present study, only the cranial air sacs were investigated. Previous studies have implied that the caudal air sacs are preferentially affected during recumbency changes, due to the presence of viscera such as the reproductive tract in the caudal coelom (6, 42). However, the impact of caudal air sacs compression on the total tidal volume may vary among different bird species, as the relative capacity of individual air sacs varies widely between species (22). Regardless, specific changes to the caudal air sacs were not investigated in the present study. Further studies are required to verify the effect of recumbency on caudal air sacs ventilation, and the total tidal ventilation. The additional use of spirometry would also be useful to measure tidal volume and compare it to cranial and caudal air sacs ventilation.

4.7 Respiratory pattern

The use of EIT allowed objective quantification of the changes in respiratory pattern. In this study, the method of categorising BrP using the morphology of the expiratory impedance curve was based on that reported in rhinoceros and horse EIT studies (40, 47). It was interesting to note that in both right and left lateral recumbencies, the majority of the chickens demonstrated early expiratory breath holding (BrP3). In comparison, a wider variety of BrP was seen when the chickens were in dorsal or ventral recumbencies. The mechanisms that explains why these respiratory patterns change is unclear. Alterations to the respiratory patterns were previously suggested to be a compensatory mechanism to autorecruit collapsed pulmonary tissues in horses and rhinoceroses (40, 48). A similar compensatory mechanism is possible in birds to preserve the ability of the air sacs to maintain appropriate flow through the parabronchi during both inspiration and expiration.

Alternatively, it is possible that these changes to the respiratory patterns were direct consequences of positioning. Inspiration and expiration are active processes in birds and so the action of the respiratory muscles involved during expiration may be compromised when the chickens are in recumbencies other than the upright position. The compression of the dependent coelom wall, and changes in air sacs compliance can affect flow patterns through the parabronchi by increasing the resistance to flow (49– 51). Additionally, the expiratory flow by the cranial air sacs have been theorised to make important contribution to the functional valving that creates unidirectional flow, along with other factors such as gas flow variables and the structural geometry between the air sacs and airways (52). The alteration to the expiratory flow is therefore logical when recumbency is changed, as the ability to generate the prototypical flow is compromised.

4.8 Limitations and future studies

A limitation of this study was the inability to produce a ROI specific for the cranial air sacs, and so data analysis was performed using only the global outer contour instead. The distribution of ventilation within specific regions may therefore be occupied and influenced by non-respiratory related areas of the coelom. Development of a cranial air sacs ROI would provide more

precise information on the distribution of ventilation. As previously mentioned, development of a ROI incorporating both cranial air sacs and the cardiac silhouette may be a feasible solution, as the cardiac-related signals contributed minimally to the global ΔZ .

Another limitation of this study is that only a homogenous population of chickens was recruited. Inclusion of chickens of other breeds, age groups and sex would permit further investigation into the utility of EIT in chickens. Birds show immense biodiversity in their form and function. The feasibility of applying EIT to other birds is therefore currently unknown.

Only the cranial air sacs were investigated in this study. Future studies should investigate the use of EIT on the caudal air sacs. Techniques such as the use of two-plane EIT should be considered for simultaneous detection of the caudal air sacs ventilation. The use of two-plane EIT has been described in horses, which allows more tissues to be captured in the longitudinal axis, as opposed to a single transverse slice with standard one-plane EIT as used in this study. The impedance signals obtained by the two-plane EIT can then be reconstructed into three-dimensional images of the thorax (53). Measurement of both the cranial and caudal air sacs will be important to allow a global assessment of the entire avian ventilatory system. For instance, the cranial and caudal air sacs groups have been shown to contribute equally to tidal ventilation in anaesthetised ducks (46).

The effects of recumbency on ventilation with EIT variables were investigated as a secondary outcome. However, the impact that recumbency had on gas exchange was beyond the scope of the study. Physiological variables, such as ETCO₂ was primarily recorded for the standard monitoring during anaesthesia. In this study, a side stream capnograph and a Mapleson D, nonrebreathing system was used for the EIT study protocol. As the capnograph adapter was placed adjacent to the fresh gas outflow, the high oxygen flow required to prevent rebreathing can induce turbulence and dilution of capnography reading, rendering $ETCO_2$ not representative of P_aCO_2 (54, 55). The agreement between ETCO₂ and PaCO₂ has been shown to be unreliable in ventilated anaesthetised birds with a non-rebreathing system (8). It was therefore decided to not statistically explore ETCO₂ to avoid misleading interpretations of the effect that recumbency has on ventilation.

The optimal position of recumbency for the respiratory system during avian anaesthesia is yet to be determined. In clinical practise, the recumbency assigned depends mainly on the nature of the procedure to optimise surgical access, and to a lesser extent the impact on the cardiorespiratory system. In future studies, assessment of gas exchange by arterial blood gas analyses; assessment of the cardiovascular system by measuring cardiac output; and concurrent assessment of the caudal air sacs, should be considered to determine the optimal recumbency position in birds under general anaesthesia.

Cardiovascular monitoring using EIT should also be explored. Measurement of pulse rate was possible using cardiac-related signals in horses (56). Furthermore, haemodynamic signals from the aorta have been shown to provide information on preload and fluid responsiveness in anaesthetised pigs (57). These functions may also be possible in chickens, as clear cardiac-related signals were incidentally noted on the impedance-time curve in this study. Further research is required to develop algorithms that filter and analyse these cardiac-related signals. The practical application of EIT for clinical respiratory monitoring in birds should also be considered. The unique ability of EIT to assess ventilation in real-time is advantageous in avian clinical practise, as monitoring is often limited. Future topics of investigations include the development of a more ergonomic and robust belt that accommodates various sizes of birds, methods to improve electrode contact and signal detection that does not require the use of stay sutures and feather plucking. More bird species-specific FE models will need to be developed.

5 Conclusion

EIT can be used in chickens to monitor ventilation by detecting impedance signals that are synchronous to ventilation. It can also be used to detect changes in EIT variables when the position of recumbency is changed. EIT can provide valuable information about the distribution of ventilation and also a surrogate measure for the tidal volume in the cranial air sacs. Recumbency affected the distribution of ventilation, with the tendency to shift towards the non-dependent air sacs. Recumbency significantly influenced BrP, but did not affect cranial air sacs TIV and respiratory rate. Further studies are required to investigate its utility for clinical practise.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1. Speer B. Basic Anaesthesia. In: Chitty J, Deborah M, editors. *Bsava Manual of Avian Practice: A Foundation Manual*. England: British Small Animal Veterinary Association (2018). p. 232-41.

2. Dobbs P, Moittié S, Liptovszky M. Avian anaesthesia related mortality and the associated risk factors in a UK zoological collection. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2021) 48:922–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2021.04.012

3. Seamon AB, Hofmeister EH, Divers SJ. Outcome following inhalation anaesthesia in birds at a veterinary referral hospital: 352 cases (2004-2014). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2017) 251:814–7. doi: 10.2460/javma.251.7.814

4. Brodbelt DC, Blissitt KJ, Hammond RA, Neath PJ, Young LE, Pfeiffer DU, et al. The risk of death: the confidential enquiry into perioperative small animal fatalities. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2008) 35:365–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-2995.2008.00397.x

5. Johnston GM, Eastment JK, Wood J, Taylor PM. The confidential enquiry into perioperative equine fatalities (Cepef): mortality results of phases 1 and 2. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2002) 29:159–70. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-2995.2002.00106.x

6. Ludders JW. Anesthesia and analgesia in birds. In: Fish RE, Brown MJ, Danneman PJ, Karas AZ, editors. *Anesthesia and Analgesia in Laboratory Animals, 2nd Edn.* San Diego, CA: Academic Press (2008). p. 481–500.

7. Nevarez JG. Monitoring during avian and exotic pet anaesthesia. Seminars Avian Exotic Pet Med. (2005) 14:277-83. doi: 10.1053/j.saep.2005.09.011

8. Desmarchelier M, Rondenay Y, Fitzgerald G, Lair S. Monitoring of the ventilatory status of anesthetized birds of prey by using end-tidal carbon dioxide measured with a microstream capnometer. *J Zoo Wildl Med.* (2007) 38:1–6. doi: 10.1638/05-033.1

9. Brabant OA, Byrne DP, Sacks M, Moreno Martinez F, Raisis AL, Araos JB, et al. Thoracic electrical impedance tomography—the 2022 veterinary consensus statement. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:946911. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.946911

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Animal Ethics Committee, Murdoch University. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

MM, HL, and GM designed the research and performed experiments. AMW, HL, ADW, RB, TH, and MM analysed the data. RB and TH did the statistical analysis. AMW and MM drafted the manuscript. All authors interpreted the results, edited, revised, and approved final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

DM was employed by company Brisbane Bird and Exotics Veterinary Service.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Mosing M, Moens Y. Veterinary Applications of Eit. In: Adler A, Holder D, editors. *Electrical Impedance Tomography: Methods, History and Applications*, 2nd Edn. Boca Raton: CRC Press (2021). p. 290–301.

11. Woo EJ, Hua P, Webster JG, Tompkins WJ. Finite-element method in electrical impedance tomography. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* (1994) 32:530– 6. doi: 10.1007/BF02515311

12. Grychtol B, Lionheart WR, Bodenstein M, Wolf GK, Adler A. Impact of model shape mismatch on reconstruction quality in electrical impedance tomography. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* (2012) 31:1754–60. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2012.22 00904

13. Ambrisko TD, Schramel JP, Adler A, Kutasi O, Makra Z, Moens YP. Assessment of distribution of ventilation by electrical impedance tomography in standing horses. *Physiol Meas.* (2016) 37:175–86. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/37/2/175

14. Ambrisko TD, Schramel JP, Auer U, Moens YPS. Impact of four different recumbencies on the distribution of ventilation in conscious or anaesthetized spontaneously breathing beagle dogs: an electrical impedance tomography study. *PLoS One.* (2017) 12:e0183340. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183340

15. Mosing M, Marly-Voquer C, MacFarlane P, Bardell D, Böhm SH, Bettschart-Wolfensberger R, et al. Regional distribution of ventilation in horses in dorsal recumbency during spontaneous and mechanical ventilation assessed by electrical impedance tomography: a case series. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2017) 44:127–32. doi: 10.1111/vaa.12405

16. Sacks M, Byrne DP, Herteman N, Secombe C, Adler A, Hosgood G, et al. Electrical impedance tomography to measure lung ventilation distribution in healthy horses and horses with left-sided cardiac volume overload. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2021). doi: 10.1111/jvim.16227

17. Adler A, Amyot R, Guardo R, Bates JHT, Berthiaume Y. Monitoring changes in lung air and liquid volumes with electrical impedance tomography. *J Appl Physiol.* (1997) 83:1762–7. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1997.83.5.1762

18. Brabant O, Crivellari B, Hosgood G, Raisis A, Waldmann AD, Auer U, et al. Effects of peep on the relationship between tidal volume and total impedance change measured via electrical impedance tomography (Eit). *J Clin Monit Comput.* (2021) 121–10. doi: 10.1007/s10877-021-00651-x

19. Crivellari B, Raisis A, Hosgood G, Waldmann AD, Murphy D, Mosing M. Use of electrical impedance tomography (Eit) to estimate tidal volume in anaesthetized horses undergoing elective surgery. *Animals.* (2021) 11:350. doi: 10.3390/ani11051350

20. Mosing M, Waldmann AD, Raisis A, Böhm SH, Drynan E, Wilson K. Monitoring of tidal ventilation by electrical impedance tomography in anaesthetised horses. *Equine Vet J.* (2019) 51:222–6. doi: 10.1111/evj.12998

21. Shono A, Kotani T. Clinical implication of monitoring regional ventilation using electrical impedance tomography. *J Intensive Care.* (2019) 7:4. doi: 10.1186/s40560-019-0358-4

22. Scheid P, Piiper J. Respiratory Mechanics and Air Flow in Birds. In: King AS, McLelland J, editors. *Form and Function in Birds*. London: Academic Press (1989). p. 369–91.

23. McNab BK. What determines the basal rate of metabolism? J Exp Biol. (2019) 222:591. doi: 10.1242/jeb.205591

24. Jetz W, Freckleton RP, McKechnie AE. Environment, migratory tendency, phylogeny and basal metabolic rate in birds. *PLoS ONE.* (2008) 3:e3261. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003261

25. Nopp P, Harris ND, Zhao TX, Brown BH. Model for the dielectric properties of human lung tissue against frequency and air content. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* (1997) 35:695–702. doi: 10.1007/BF02510980

26. Nopp P, Rapp E, Pfützner H, Nakesch H, Ruhsam C. Dielectric properties of lung tissue as a function of air content. *Phys Med Biol.* (1993) 38:699-716. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/38/6/005

27. McLelland J. Anatomy of the Lungs and Air Sacs. In: King AS, McLelland J, editors. *Form and Function in Birds*. London: Academic Press (1989). p. 221–79.

28. Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Hazlett HC, Smith RG, Ho S, Gee JC, et al. User-guided 3d active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *Neuroimage.* (2006) 31:1116–28. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.015

29. Waldmann AD, Meira C, Bohm SH, Dennler M, Mosing M. Construction of a Robust Beagle Model for Eit Applications. In: Ollmar S, Abtahi F, Erlandsson B-E, Janerot-Sjöberg B, Lindecrantz K, Mridha M, et al., editors. *17th International Conference on Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT)*. Stockholm: Swisstom (2016). p. 158.

30. Waldmann AD, Meira C, Auer U, Bohme S, Braun C, Bohm SH, et al. Contribution of Finite Element Model of Animals to Data Base. In: Ollmar S, Abtahi F, Erlandsson B-E, Janerot-Sjöberg B, Lindecrantz K, Mridha M, et al., editors. *17th International Conference on Electrical Impedance Tomography*. Stockholm: Stockholm: Swisstom (2016). p. 161.

31. McCall KE, Waldmann AD, Bohm SH, Rajapaksa A, Tan A, Perkins EJ, et al., editors. Using Eit to Determine the Role of Gestational Age on Lung Volume Response During Aeration at Birth – a Feasibility Study. *16th International Conference on Biomedical Applications of Electrical Impedance Tomography*. Neuchâtel: CSEM SA (2015).

32. Casteleyn C, Cornillie P, Van Cruchten S, Van den Broeck W, Van Ginneken C, Simoens P. Anatomy of the lower respiratory tract in domestic birds, with emphasis on respiration. *Anat Histol Embryol.* (2018) 47:89–99. doi: 10.1111/ahe.12332

33. Ambrosio AM, Carvalho-Kamakura TPA Ida KK, Varela B, Andrade F, Facó LL, et al. Ventilation distribution assessed with electrical impedance tomography and the influence of tidal volume, recruitment and positive end-expiratory pressure in isoflurane-anesthetized dogs. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2017) 44:254–63. doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2016.06.003

34. Chatziioannidis I, Samaras T, Nikolaidis N. Electrical impedance tomography: a new study method for neonatal respiratory distress syndrome? *Hippokratia*. (2011) 15:211–5.

35. Sophocleous L, Frerichs I, Miedema M, Kallio M, Papadouri T, Karaoli C, et al. Clinical performance of a novel textile interface for neonatal chest electrical impedance tomography. *Physiol Meas.* (2018) 39:044004. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/aab513

36. Brabant O, Loroesch S, Adler A, Waldmann AD, Raisis A, Mosing M. Performance evaluation of electrode design and material for a large animal electrical impedance tomography belt. *Veterinary Record.* (2022) 191:e2184. doi: 10.1002/vetr.2184

37. Roth CJ, Ehrl A, Becher T, Frerichs I, Schittny JC, Weiler N, et al. Correlation between alveolar ventilation and electrical properties of lung parenchyma. *Physiol Meas.* (2015) 36:1211–26. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/36/6/1211

38. Brackenbury JH. Physical determinants of air flow pattern within the avian lung. *Respir Physiol.* (1972) 15:384–97. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(72)90078-3

39. Jones JH, Effmann EL, Schmidt-Nielsen K. Lung volume changes during respiration in ducks. *Respir Physiol.* (1985) 59:15–25. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(85)90014-3

40. Mosing M, Waldmann AD, Sacks M, Buss P, Boesch JM, Zeiler GE, et al. What hinders pulmonary gas exchange and changes distribution of ventilation in immobilized white rhinoceroses (ceratotherium simum) in lateral recumbency? *J Appl Physiol.* (2020) 129:1140–9. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00359.2020

41. West JB, Luks A. Mechanics of Breathing. In: West JB, Luks A, editors. *West's Respiratory Physiology : The Essentials, 10 th Edn.* Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (2016). p. 108–41.

42. King AS, Payne DC. Normal breathing and the effects of posture in gallus domesticus. J Physiol. (1964) 174:340-7. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1964.sp007491

43. Malka S, Hawkins MG, Jones JH, Pascoe PJ, Kass PH, Wisner ER. Effect of body position on respiratory system volumes in anesthetized red-tailed hawks (buteo jamaicensis) as measured via computed tomography. *Am J Vet Res.* (2009) 70:1155–60. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.70.9.1155

44. Hawkins MG, Malka S, Pascoe PJ, Solano AM, Kass PH, Ohmura H, et al. Evaluation of the effects of dorsal versus lateral recumbency on the cardiopulmonary system during anaesthesia with isoflurane in red-tailed hawks (buteo jamaicensis). *Am J Vet Res.* (2013) 74:136–43. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.74.1.136

45. Nevitt BN, Langan JN, Adkesson MJ, Mitchell MA, Henzler M, Drees R. Comparison of air sac volume, lung volume, and lung densities determined by use of computed tomography in conscious and anesthetized humboldt penguins (spheniscus humboldti) positioned in ventral, dorsal, and right lateral recumbency. *Am J Vet Res.* (2014) 75:739–45. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.75.8.739

46. Scheid P, Slama H, Willmer H. Volume and ventilation of air sacs in ducks studied by inert gas wash-out. *Respir Physiol.* (1974) 21:19–36. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(74)90004-8

47. Moreno-Martinez F, Byrne D, Raisis A, Waldmann AD, Hosgood G, Mosing M. Comparison of effects of an endotracheal tube or facemask on breathing pattern and distribution of ventilation in anesthetized horses. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:895268. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.895268

48. Mosing M, Waldmann AD, MacFarlane P, Iff S, Auer U, Bohm SH, et al. Horses auto-recruit their lungs by inspiratory breath holding following recovery from general anaesthesia. *PloS ONE.* (2016) 11:e0158080-e. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158080

49. Brackenbury JH. Airflow dynamics in the avian lung as determined by direct and indirect methods. *Respir Physiol.* (1971) 13:319–29. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(71)90036-3

50. Gillespie JR, Gendner JP, Sagot JC, Bouverot P. Impedance of the lower respiratory system in ducks measured by forced oscillations during normal breathing. *Respir Physiol.* (1982) 47:51–68. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(82)90092-5

51. Urushikubo A, Nakamura M, Hirahara H. Effects of air sac compliances on flow in the parabronchi: computational fluid dynamics using an anatomically simplified model of an avian respiratory system. *J Biomed Eng.* (2013) 06:483–92. doi: 10.4236/jbise.2013.64061

52. Butler JP, Banzett RB, Fredberg JJ. Inspiratory valving in avian bronchi: aerodynamic considerations. *Respir Physiol.* (1988) 72:241–55. doi: 10.1016/0034-5687(88)90010-2

53. Grychtol B, Schramel JP, Braun F, Riedel T, Auer U, Mosing M, et al. Thoracic Eit in 3d: experiences and recommendations. *Physiol Meas.* (2019) 40:074006. doi: 10.1088/1361-6579/ab291d

54. Gravenstein N, Lampotang S, Beneken JEW. Factors influencing capnography in the bain circuit. *J Clin Monit.* (1985) 1:6–10. doi: 10.1007/BF02832683

55. Rich GF, Sullivan MP, Adams JM. Is distal sampling of endtidal Co2 necessary in small subjects? *Anesthesiology.* (1990) 73:265-8. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199008000-00013

56. Raisis AL, Mosing M, Hosgood GL, Secombe CJ, Adler A, Waldmann AD. The use of electrical impedance tomography (Eit) to evaluate pulse rate in anaesthetised horses. *Vet J.* (2021) 273:105694. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2021.105694

57. Trepte CJ, Phillips C, Solà J, Adler A, Saugel B, Haas S, et al. Electrical impedance tomography for non-invasive assessment of stroke volume variation in health and experimental lung injury. *Br J Anaesth.* (2017) 118:68–76. doi: 10.1093/bja/a ew341