Research Article



OPEN 3 ACCESS

Novel phylogenetic clade of avian *Haemoproteus* parasites (Haemosporida, Haemoproteidae) from Accipitridae raptors, with description of a new *Haemoproteus* species

Josef Harl¹, Anaïs Fauchois², Marie-Pierre Puech³, Delphine Gey², Frédéric Ariey⁴, Brigitte Izac⁴, Herbert Weissenböck¹, Nayden Chakarov⁵, Tatjana Iezhova⁶, Gediminas Valkiūnas⁶, and Linda Duval^{2,*}

¹ Institute of Pathology, Department of Pathobiology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Veterinaerplatz 1, 1210 Vienna, Austria
 ² Département Adaptations du Vivant (AVIV), Molécules de Communication et Adaptation des Microorganismes (MCAM, UMR 7245

CNRS), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, CP 52, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231 Cedex 05, Paris, France

³ Hôpital de la faune sauvage des Garrigues et Cévennes – Goupil Connexion, 34190 Brissac, France

⁴ Université de Paris, INSERM 1016, Institut Cochin, Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France

⁵ Department of Animal Behaviour, Bielefeld University, Konsequenz 45, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

⁶ Nature Research Centre, Akademijos 2, 08412 Vilnius, Lithuania

Received 1 August 2023, Accepted 18 December 2023, Published online 8 February 2024

Abstract – Avian haemosporidian parasites (order Haemosporida, phylum Apicomplexa) are blood and tissue parasites transmitted by blood-sucking dipteran insects. Three genera (*Plasmodium, Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon*) have been most often found in birds, with over 270 species described and named in avian hosts based mainly on the morphological characters of blood stages. A broad diversity of *Haemoproteus* parasites remains to be identified and characterized morphologically and molecularly, especially those infecting birds of prey, an underrepresented bird group in haemosporidian parasite studies. The aim of this study was to investigate and identify *Haemoproteus* parasites from a large sample comprising accipitriform raptors of 16 species combining morphological and new molecular protocols targeting the *cytb* genes of this parasite group. This study provides morphological descriptions and molecular characterizations of two *Haemoproteus* species, *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. and *H. nisi* Peirce and Marquiss, 1983. *Haemoproteus* parasites of this group were so far found in accipitriform raptors only and might be classified into a separate subgenus or even genus. *Cytb* sequences of these parasites diverge by more than 15% from those of all others known avian haemosporidian genera and form a unique phylogenetic clade. This study underlines the importance of developing new diagnostic tools to detect molecularly highly divergent parasites that might be undetectable by commonly used conventional tools.

Key words: Accipitridae, Haemoproteus, New species, cytb DNA barcoding, Birds, New phylogenetic clade.

Résumé – Nouveau clade phylogénétique de parasites de rapaces Accipitridae du genre Haemoproteus (Haemosporida, Haemoproteidae), avec description d'une nouvelle espèce d'Haemoproteus. Les parasites hémosporidies aviaires (ordre Haemosporida, phylum Apicomplexa) sont des parasites sanguins et tissulaires transmis par des insectes diptères hématophages. Trois genres (Plasmodium, Haemoproteus et Leucocytozoon) ont été le plus souvent trouvés chez les oiseaux, avec plus de 270 espèces décrites et nommées chez les hôtes aviaires en fonction principalement des caractères morphologiques des stades sanguins. Une grande diversité des Haemoproteus reste à identifier et à caractériser morphologiquement et génétiquement, en particulier ceux qui infectent les oiseaux de proie, un groupe d'oiseaux sous-représenté dans les études sur les hémosporidies. Le but de cette étude était d'étudier et d'identifier les Haemoproteus à partir d'un large échantillon comprenant des rapaces accipitriformes de 16 espèces, en combinant des protocoles morphologiques et de nouveaux protocoles moléculaires ciblant les gènes cytb de ce groupe de parasites. Cette étude fournit des descriptions morphologiques et des caractérisations moléculaires de deux espèces d'Haemoproteus, H. multivacuolatus n. sp. et H. nisi Peirce and Marquiss, 1983. Les Haemoproteus de ce groupe n'ont jusqu'à présent été trouvés que chez les rapaces accipitriformes et pourraient être classés dans un sous-genre ou même un genre distinct. Les séquences cytb de ces parasites divergent de plus de 15 % de celles de tous les autres genres d'hémosporidies aviaires connus et forment un clade phylogénétique unique. Cette étude souligne l'importance de développer de nouveaux outils de diagnostic pour détecter des parasites moléculairement très divergents qui pourraient être indétectables par les outils conventionnels couramment utilisés.

Edited by Jean-Lou Justine

*Corresponding author: linda. duval@mnhn. fr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Avian haemosporidian parasites (order Haemosporida, phylum Apicomplexa) are blood and tissue parasites transmitted by blood-sucking dipteran insects [53]. They are diverse, widespread and have been reported in birds of most orders [13, 48]. The three genera *Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, and *Leucocytozoon* include the majority of haemosporidian parasites found in birds, with over 270 species described based mainly on the morphological characters of blood stages [14, 22].

The introduction of DNA barcoding protocols, mainly targeting a 478 bp fragment of the mitochondrial *Cytochrome B* (*cytb*) gene [6, 20], has revealed genetic diversity much higher than previously assumed based on the number of described morphospecies. Over 4000 unique *cytb* lineages have been listed in the MalAvi database (Avian Malaria Initiative, http:// 130.235.244.92/Malavi/) [7]. DNA barcoding of the *cytb* revealed a high diversity of cryptic species, which are either morphologically indistinguishable or have not been described yet [41, 53]. Moreover, it showed that submicroscopic infections (not detected by microscopy) and co-infections (several parasites of different species simultaneously infecting the same bird individual) are common in birds [25, 42].

The genus *Haemoproteus* is the most diverse genus of avian haemosporidian parasites with more than 170 described species and many new *Haemoproteus* morphospecies being continuously described and characterised molecularly [1, 55, 57]. In addition, almost half of the *cytb* lineages available in the MalAvi database belong to the genus *Haemoproteus*, but only about 160 lineages have been linked to approximately 75 *Haemoproteus* morphospecies [33, 54].

The genus *Haemoproteus* includes two subgenera, *Haemoproteus* and *Parahaemoproteus*, whose species can be distinguished by their life history traits [4, 5, 30, 53]. The most distinctive characteristics of species of the subgenus *Haemoproteus* are the pattern of sporogony (development of numerous germinal centres in oocysts) and the transmission by louse flies (Hippoboscidae). The species of the subgenus *Parahaemoproteus* are more widely distributed, have a different pattern of sporogony (only one germinal centre occurs in oocysts), and are transmitted by biting midges (Ceratopogonidae).

The studies addressing the life cycles and vectors of *Haemoproteus* parasites remain few [2, 23, 62]. Identification and description of *Haemoproteus* parasites are usually done using morphological characteristics of their blood stages (gametocytes) and patterns of their influence on host cells. This approach remains the reference method for species identification, together with molecular characterization using the 478 bp *cytb* barcode sequence for haemosporidian parasites [8, 58].

Today, the taxonomic status of the genus *Haemoproteus* remains valid but has been challenged by some studies, *e.g.*, the discovery of parasites of the subgenus *Haemoproteus* in several species of seabirds has changed the understanding of the parasite-host associations for this subgenus, which historically has been assumed exclusive to Columbiformes [3, 31, 35]. Furthermore, a recent publication investigating *Haemoproteus* parasites infecting cranes [9], exemplary of the parasites of understudied avian orders, revealed a novel *Haemoproteus*

clade, which might be classified as a separate genus or subgenus in future studies, but currently, the biology of these parasites remains completely under-investigated.

The knowledge regarding the morphological and molecular diversity of avian *Haemoproteus* parasites is still limited and biased by heterogeneous sampling efforts in terms of bird species and geographic regions investigated. Most sampling has focused on passerine birds (75% of currently available DNA sequence data), while haemosporidian parasites of other bird orders [14] are far less investigated.

A broad diversity of *Haemoproteus* parasites remains undescribed and numerous *Haemoproteus* morphospecies need to be identified and characterized molecularly [58]. The phylogenetic position of these parasites within the phylogeny of Haemosporida remains unresolved [11, 15, 52]. Further studies focusing on parasites of underrepresented bird groups are required to solve taxonomic and systematic issues. The development of new PCR protocols is necessary to estimate the diversity of *Haemoproteus* parasites because the widely used PCR protocol developed by Hellgren *et al.* [19] does not amplify the *cytb* barcode sequence of some *Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon* parasites [18, 54, 60].

Accipitriform birds are an underrepresented bird group compared to the passerines in haemosporidian parasite studies [38, 42, 45, 50]. However, they represent a good model group for the study of blood parasites because they are widespread across large geographical areas, found in a variety of habitats, and commonly delivered in wildlife rescue centres. They are ecologically sensitive apex predators and valuable indicators of habitat quality [28, 37, 39].

Recent studies on haemosporidian parasites of accipitriform raptors in Europe (Austria, Bosnia-Herzegovina, and Czechia) revealed a large diversity of new *cytb* lineages belonging to the three genera *Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, and *Leucocytozoon*, suggesting that the diversity of haemosporidian parasites is greater than previously assumed, and many parasite lineages remain non-described or have not yet been linked to known morphospecies [18, 51]. Furthermore, phylogenetic analyses revealed that *cytb* lineages of *Haemoproteus* parasites specific to accipitriform birds form a phylogenetically distant group [51], which might represent a separate group at the subgenus or genus levels within the family Haemoproteidae [18].

Three *Haemoproteus* species were found in Accipitridae raptors from various geographic regions in Europe, using the traditional taxonomy. These are *H. elani* Mello, 1935, *H. nisi* Peirce and Marquiss, 1983 and *H. buteonis* Wingstrand, 1947 [44, 53, 61]. None of these three *Haemoproteus* morphospecies has been characterized molecularly to date.

The aim of this study was to investigate and identify *Haemoproteus* parasites from a large sample comprising accipitriform raptors of 16 species combining morphological and molecular approaches. Most samples originated from *Buteo buteo* (Common buzzard), *Accipiter nisus* (Eurasian sparrowhawk), and *Circus aeruginosus* (Western marsh harrier), three of the most common accipitriform raptors in Eurasia and Africa [37]. A new multiplexed PCR amplicon-based next-generation sequencing approach was developed specially to investigate the molecular diversity of *Haemoproteus* lineages parasitizing accipitriform birds. In addition, the complete mitochondrial genomes of *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. hBUBT1 and *H. nisi* hACCNIS06 were sequenced.

Material and methods

Ethics statement

The samples from France collected by Dr. Marie-Pierre Puech, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, wild animal health center (Hôpital de la Faune Sauvage) Goupil connexion, were taken for routine examinations. Only surplus blood samples were used in this study.

The collection of blood samples from living birds in Austria was approved by the institutional ethics and animal welfare committee and the national authority according to §§ 26ff. of the Animal Experiments Acts, Tierversuchsgesetz TVG 2012, Austria (BMWFW- 68.205/0036-WF/V/3b/2017). The samples collected by the Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology (University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna) and the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (University of Sarajevo) were taken from carcasses submitted for routine examinations. No birds were injured or killed for the present study.

The collection of blood samples from Germany was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee at Bielefeld University and conducted with permission from the local authority Kreis Gütersloh, permit number: 4.5.2–723-Bussard and from the federal state authority 84–02.04.2014.A091, 84–02-04. 2017.A147 in accordance with German federal and state laws.

Biological samples

The samples from France comprised 413 diurnal raptors of the family Accipitridae: 277 Buteo buteo, 131 Accipiter nisus, and 5 Circus aeruginosus. In all, 77/413 were found to be infected with Haemoproteus parasites after microscopic examinations and chosen for molecular identification. All birds were admitted for post-injury care to the wild animal health center (Hôpital de la Faune Sauvage, Languedoc-Roussillon, Ganges), sampled upon admission for routine veterinary purposes from 2012 to 2021 and released after recovery. Blood sample surpluses were used to prepare two thin blood smears which were air-dried and the remaining blood was put in EDTA tubes. All thin blood smears were fixed with absolute methanol prior to Giemsa staining (8% in phosphate buffered solution) for 45 min, covered with cover slips, and mounted with Eukitt[®] resin for long term storage. All blood samples in EDTA tubes were frozen until molecular analysis.

The samples from Austria comprised 171 birds of the order Accipitriformes: 9 Accipiter gentilis, 22 A. nisus, 1 Aquila chrysaetos, 10 Aquila heliaca, 70 Buteo buteo, 1 Buteo lagopus, 5 Buteo sp., 22 Circus aeruginosus, 4 Circus cyaneus, 2 Clanga pomarina, 2 Gypaetus barbatus, 1 Gyps fulvus, 12 Haliaeetus albicilla, 8 Milvus milvus, 1 Pandion haliaetus, and 1 Pernis apivorus. The Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology (Department of Interdisciplinary Life Sciences, Vetmeduni Vienna) provided frozen tissue samples of 113 birds collected between 2009 and 2018 in Austria. Blood samples were taken from 58 living birds received for treatment at the service unit for birds and reptiles of the clinic for small animal internal medicine (Department for Companion Animals and Horses, Vetmeduni Vienna) between 2015 and 2016. The blood was taken by puncturing the brachial vein using heparinized microcapillaries to transfer blood drops to high-grade filter papers Whatman[™] 903 (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK), and two blood smears were prepared as described above for a subset of individuals kept at the clinic. The samples were already screened molecularly for haemosporidian parasites of other groups by Harl *et al.* [18].

The samples from Germany were collected from 832 *Buteo buteo* nestlings in North Rhine-Westphalia and Lower Saxony, between 2019 and 2022. The samples were collected from late May to early July from nestlings ranging between 2 and 6 weeks of age as described in Chakarov *et al.* [12]. Blood was taken with a syringe from the ulnar vein to prepare smears, which were fixed and Giemsa stained, and the rest of the blood was stored in absolute ethanol. The samples included in this study were found to be BUBT1-positive through PCR screening as described below and microscopic screening.

Microscopic examination and parasite morphometry

The blood smears were carefully examined for parasite identification with a motorised BX63 Upright Olympus Microscope at a magnification of 1000 under oil immersion. *Haemoproteus* parasites were photographed with an Olympus DP72 Camera (High-Speed 12.8 Megapixel Image Capture) and morphologically identified according to Valkiūnas [53, 58]. Morphometric measurements were performed on 21 fully grown gametocytes using the cellSens Dimension 1.9 software and the imaging software analySIS FIVE (Olympus Soft Imaging Solution GmbH, Münster, Germany). Parasitaemia was calculated by actual counting of the number of gametocytes per 1 000 erythrocytes. Student's t-test for independent samples was used to determine statistically significant differences between mean linear parameters. A *p*-value of 0.05 or less was considered significant.

DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA of the samples from France was extracted from 20 μ L of whole blood samples using a QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France), following the manufacturer's instructions for isolation of genomic DNA from small volumes of blood. DNA of the samples from Austria was extracted either from tissue (liver and spleen) or blood spots using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Venlo, Netherlands), following the manufacturer's protocol for isolation of DNA from tissue samples. DNA from samples from Germany was extracted from blood *via* a common Phenol-Isopropanol-Ethanol extraction protocol.

PCR and sequencing of the cytb barcode region

All samples from France were screened for haemosporidian parasites using a nested PCR protocol targeting a 478 bp fragment of the mitochondrial *Cytochrome B* (*cytb*) of *Haemoproteus* spp. and *Plasmodium* spp., which is commonly used as a DNA barcode sequence [6, 19]. These samples were screened

with a nested PCR assay using the newly designed primers H1 (5'–TGG TAC TAC AGG AGT AAT GTT AGG–3') and H2 (5'–CGT CTA AGC ATG TTA ACT CGA TTG–3') to amplify a 1670 bp amplicon, followed by two nested-PCRs using two sets of primers also newly designed FSP1F (5'–GAA TTAT GGA RTG GAT GGT G–3') and FSP1R (5'–GCT GTA TCA TAY CCT AAA GG–3') and ACC2F (5'–GGA TTT GTG GTG GAT ATA G–3') and ACC2R (5'–GGA GTC ACA AAT ARA CTA AC–3') to amplify two shorter amplicons of 415 bp and 303 bp, respectively. These amplicons together encompassed the entire *cytb* barcode region (478 bp length) with 153 bp overlap.

The samples from Austria were previously screened with the nested PCR protocol by Hellgren *et al.* [19] and a second nested PCR protocol specifically targeting parasites of the *Leucocytozoon toddi* group [18]. For the present study, these samples were screened with a nested PCR assay specifically targeting the *cytb* of BUBT1 and related parasite lineages. The newly designed primers CytB_Hnis_F1 (5'–GGA GTA CTA CTT GCT ACC AGA T–3') and CytB_Hnis_R1 (5'– GTT TGC TTG GG AGC TGT AAT C–3') were used to amplify a 1000 bp amplicon, and CytB_Hnis_F2 (5'–TCA CCA GAA ATG GAT TAT GC–3') and CytB_Hnis_R2 (5'– TGT GGT AAT GTA GAT CCT ATC C–3') were used in a nested PCR to amplify an 863 bp section (821 bp excluding primers) of the *cytb* including the entire DNA barcode region.

The samples from Germany were screened with a one-round PCR reaction and newly designed primers specifically targeting BUBT1 and related parasite lineages. These primers BUBT1_F3 (FAM-labelled 5'–CGG GCA GAT GAC AGA AAC TAT–3') and BUBT1_R3 (5'–TTT GCC TGG AGG TTA TGT TCT–3') were used to amplify a 680 bp fragment in the non-coding mitochondrial region of BUBT1, while being multiplex-compatible with other primers designed to score other blood parasite lineages found in common buzzards (Chakarov *et al.*, in prep). A selection of samples was lineage-verified by amplification and Sanger-sequencing with the same primers.

The PCRs of the samples from France were performed in a final volume of 25 μ L consisting of 5 μ L of 5× PrimeSTAR GXL Buffer, 2 µL of dNTP Mixture (2.5 mM each), 0.5 µL of each primer (0.2 µM), 0.5 µL PrimeSTAR GXL DNA Polymerase (1.25U) (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan), 15 µL of nucleasefree water and 2 µL of DNA template. The PCRs included denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 15 s, 68 °C for 2 min (PCR reaction) or 45 s (nested-PCR reaction), and a final extension at 68 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were visualised on 2% agarose gel. The nested PCRs of the samples from Austria were performed with a KAPA2G Fast HotStart PCR kit (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 25 µL volumes containing 12.5 µL polymerase mix, 8.5 µL nuclease-free water, 1 µL MgCl (25 mM), each 1 µL primer, and 2 µL template. The PCR cycles included denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 25 (first PCR)/35 (nested PCR) cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 54 °C (first PCR)/50 °C (nested PCR) for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. One µL of the first PCRs was used as template in the nested PCRs. The PCR products were visualised on 1% agarose gels.

For sequencing the PCR products of the samples from France, a dual-indexing approach was developed to allow high-throughput sequencing of multiplexed 478 bp cytb barcode amplicons on Illumina MiSeq [49]. Thus, 13 primer tags of 5 nucleotides were designed and added to the 5' end of nested PCR primers to form 40 unique combinations. Thus, two sets of primer tags were used: AGTCT, ATTGC, ACGTC, ATGCG, AGATC, ATCTG, CATTG, CTAGG for forward nested PCR primers, and CGGAT, CGTGA, CTGTA, CGATT, TTGAC for reverse nested PCR primers, respectively. Tagged primers were synthetised with HPLC purification by Eurofins Genomics. The generated amplicons were indexed with unique 5-mer tags from both directions. Tagged amplicons were pooled into two series of 38 and 39 samples, respectively in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and purified using $1 \times$ Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). A second indexing step was carried out during the preparation of the two libraries with the NEB-Next UltraII DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The preparation includes an end-prep (blunt-end repair, 5' phosphorylation and dA-tailing) from 1 µg of purified amplicons, a ligation step to attach Illumina adapters, a cleanup ($0.9 \times$ Ampure XP beads) and PCR enrichment with indexing primers from NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina Set (3 cycles). A last purification step was performed on both libraries before checking their size distribution on an Agilent Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent Technologies, Vienna, Austria) and quantifying with a Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Both libraries were pooled at an equimolar ratio and sequenced using a 500 cycles Nano Kit v2 (2 \times 250 bp, paired-end sequencing) on an Illumina MiSeq at iGenSeq platform, at ICM (CHU Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France). The tagged amplicons were demultiplexed based on their unique tag combination using a customised python script. FastqR1 and fasqtR2 paired reads from both amplicon 1 and 2, respectively, were combined into single sequences using fastqjoin Linux command and then aligned against a panel of 478 bp cytb sequences retrieved from MalAvi database [7] and the three complete cytb gene sequences from Haemoproteus of Accipitridae obtained in this study using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software [32]. The alignments were visualised using the Integrative Genomics Viewer IGV v2.13.2 [46].

The PCR products of the samples from Austria were sent to Microsynth Austria (Vienna, Austria) for purification and sequencing in both directions using the nested PCR primers. The raw forward and reverse sequences were aligned and visually inspected with BioEdit v. 7.0.5.3 [16].

The single round PCR-scoring of the samples from Germany was performed with a Type-it microsatellite PCR kit (QIAGEN) in 10 μ L reaction volumes containing 5 μ L Taq master mix, 3 μ L water, 1 μ L primer mix with 50 μ M concentration for every included primer, and 1 μ L template. The cycling conditions included an initial cycle of 95 °C for 5 min, and 35 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 60 s, followed by a final elongation round at 68 °C for 30 min. The resulting reaction volume was 1:20 diluted with water. The resulting fragments were resolved on an ABI 3730 Automated DNA Analyser using a 500 GeneScan LIZ ladder

and analysed using GeneMarker 1.95 (SoftGenetics LCC, State College, PA, USA).

PCR and sequencing of the complete *cytb* sequence

DNA samples containing single infections with three distinct Haemoproteus lineages were selected to amplify the whole cytb gene. The first PCR reactions were performed with the primers H1 (5'-TGG TAC TAC AGG AGT AAT GTT AGG-3') and H2 (5'-CGT CTA AGC ATG TTA ACT CGA TTG-3') to amplify a 1670 bp sequence. Nested PCRs were performed using the primers H3 (5'-ATG TAA TGC CTA GAC GTA TTC CTG-3') and H4 (5'-CAT CCA TCA ACA GCT ATG GTA AC-3') to amplify a 1369 bp amplicon including the complete cytb gene of each Haemoproteus lineage (hACC-NIS06, hCIAE08 and hBUBT1). Libraries were prepared according to the protocol described by Meyer and Kircher [36] and sequenced using a 500 cycles Kit v2 (2×250 bp, paired-end sequencing) on an Illumina MiSeq Illumina at the Service de Systématique Moléculaire (SSM), part of the Service Unit Acquisition et Analyse de Données pour l'Histoire Naturelle (2AD) (UMS2700) at the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris.

FastqR1 and fastqR2 paired reads obtained from each sample were aligned against complete *cytb* reference sequences retrieved from GenBank (NCBI) and the MalAvi database [7] using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software [32]. The alignments were visualised using Integrative Genomics Viewer IGV v2.13.2 [46]. Reliable consensus *cytb* sequences from the three *Haemoproteus* parasite lineages were then manually generated.

Whole mitochondrial genome captures and sequencing

Whole mitochondrial genome sequencing was performed only on hACCNIS06 and hBUBT1 lineages from two birds with single infections using HiSeq 2000 Illumina paired-read sequencing technology at the GENOM'IC Platform of Cochin Institute. Prior to sequencing, KAPA DNA library preparation and NimbleGen seqcap EZ haemosporidian DNA sequence capture, utilising specifically designed oligonucleotide 55- to 105-base DNA probes to isolate parasitic DNA, were performed using the SeqCap EZ HyperCap workflow, following the user guide (Roche).

Briefly, 500 ng of genomic DNA were sheared with a Covaris instrument, end-repaired, A-tailed and sequencing adapters were ligated to the fragments. The sample library was sized using Agencourt AMPure XP beads ($\pm 200-250$ bp; Beckman Coulter Genomics) and enriched using 10 cycles of PCR before library quantification and validation using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser. Capture of haemosporidian DNA sequences was performed by hybridisation of the amplified sample library and the SeqCap EZ developer probes. The amplified enriched DNA library pool was then quantified using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000 v2 kit (500 cycles, 2× 250 reads).

iSeGWalker Perl software was used to carry out a semi *de novo* genome reconstruction from fastqR1 and R2 paired reads data [27]. Short reads were then aligned against the mitochondrial genome sequence reconstructed as a reference using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software and also aligned against other mitochondrial genome references retrieved from the MalAvi database.

DNA haplotype network

A DNA haplotype network was calculated with the 478 bp *cytb* barcode sequences of the new *Haemoproteus* lineages to visualise their relatedness and the distribution in different bird hosts. The network was solely based on the *cytb* sequences obtained from the Austrian and French raptors in the present study because the sequences published by other authors [24, 43] did not cover the entire DNA barcode region. A Median Joining haplotype network was calculated with Network 10.2.0.0 (Fluxus Technology Ltd, Suffolk, UK), using the default settings. The networks were graphically prepared and provided with information on host species and countries in Network Publisher v.2.1.2.5 (Fluxus Technology Ltd). The networks were then edited in Adobe Illustrator CC v.2015 (Adobe Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

Phylogenetic analysis

To assess the phylogenetic position of parasites belonging to the Haemoproteus species group of Accipitridae, a phylogenetic tree was calculated based on complete cytochrome c oxidase 1 (cox1), cytochrome c oxidase 3 (cox3), and cytochrome b (cytb) sequences of haemosporidian parasites. The original alignment contained all available complete mitochondrial genomes of haemosporidian parasites available on GenBank and the genomes of H. multivacuolatus hBUBT1 and H. nisi hACCNIS06, totalling 108 sequences. To reduce the data set, the sequences of ten unnamed mammalian Plasmodium species were excluded, resulting in an alignment with 98 haemosporidian genome sequences. The alignment was cut into three partitions containing the complete cox1, cox3, and cytb genes. Klossiella equi (MH203050) and Klossia razorbacki (MT084562) were included as outgroups because their mitochondrial genomes are most similar to those of haemosporidian parasites compared to other apicomplexan parasites. The sequences of the three partitions were aligned with MAFFT v.7 [26] using the default settings. The final alignments contained 1420 bp (cox1), 753 bp (cox3), and 1127 bp (cytb). The substitution models were evaluated for all individual data sets using IQ-TREE v.1.6.12 [40]. According to the corrected Akaike information criterion (cAIC), the best substitution model for all alignments was GTR + I + G. Trees with both a partitioned data set and an unpartitioned data set (combining the three partitions in one alignment) were calculated, but the latter was selected because it resulted in higher support values for some nodes. A Maximum Likelihood (ML) "majority rule consensus" tree was calculated with IQ-TREE v.1.6.12 [40] by performing 10 000 bootstrap replicates. A Bayesian Inference (BI) tree was calculated with MrBayes v.3.2 [47]; the analysis was run for 5 million generations (2 runs with 4 chains, one



Figure 1. *Haemoproteus nisi* (lineage hACCNIS08) from the blood of Eurasian sparrowhawk *Accipiter nisus*: a-d – macrogametocytes, e-h – microgametocytes. Long simple arrows – nuclei of parasites. Short simple arrows – vacuoles. Simple arrowhead – pigment granules. Triangle arrowheads – volutin granules. Simple wide long arrows – spaces between gametocytes and erythrocyte nuclei. Giemsa-stained thin blood films. Scale bar = 10 µm.

of which was heated) and every thousandth tree was sampled. The first 25% of trees were discarded as burn-in and a 50% majority rule consensus tree was calculated from the remaining 3750 trees each.

In addition, ML and BI trees were calculated with *Babesia* gibsoni (AB499087) as outgroup. After removal of gap positions, the final alignments contained 1412 bp (cox1), 639 bp (cox3), and 1083 bp (cytb). The trees were calculated with the same settings as those calculated with *K. equi* and *K. razorbacki* as outgroups.

Results

Morphological characteristics of *Haemoproteus* parasites

Among French accipitriform raptor samples, 77/413 (18.6%) were positive for *Haemoproteus* parasites following microscopic examination of blood films, 53 *Buteo buteo*, 22 *Accipiter nisus*, and 2 *Circus aeruginosus*. These samples were therefore chosen for molecular screenings. In the case of the samples from Austria, the blood films were only available from a subset of individuals kept at the clinic. The samples from Germany originated from 832 nestlings sampled between 2019 and 2022. Blood smears and DNA were available for all samples. Among the German nestling samples, 69 were PCR-positive for hBUBT1 and therefore targeted for close microscopic examination.

The examination of all blood films revealed the presence of two distinct *Haemoproteus* morphospecies based on morphological characteristics of the gametocytes. The microscopypositive samples that were confirmed positive for *Haemoproteus* spp. by PCR were then used for the molecular characterisation of the found parasite species.

The *Haemoproteus* parasites seen in *A. nisus* (lineage hACCNIS06) belonged to *H. nisi. Haemoproteus* parasites observed in blood films of *C. aeruginosus* (lineage hCIAE08) were morphologically similar to *H. nisi* but several minor morphological differences were visible; this parasite was considered a variant of *H. nisi. Haemoproteus* parasites observed in blood films of all PCR-positive and microscopically examined *B. buteo* from Germany, Austria, and France were morphologically similar and described as *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp. Descriptions of the parasites found are given below.

Morphological characterisation of *H. nisi* Peirce and Marquiss, 1983, the parasite lineage hACCNIS06 found in the type host *A. nisus* (Figs. 1a–1h, Table 1)

The main diagnostic characters of *H. nisi* from *A. nisus* coincide with former descriptions [44, 53]. Molecular characterisation of this pathogen was developed for the first time, linking *H. nisi* to the *cytb* lineage hACCNIS06. The main features of the blood stages of this lineage are as follows.

Macrogametocytes and microgametocytes grow around the nucleus of infected erythrocytes, they markedly enclose the nucleus with their ends but do not displace or only slightly displace it laterally (Figs. 1a–1h). Young and growing gametocytes

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Feature	Measurements (lm) ¹		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		H. nisi group		H. multivacuolatus n. sp.
$\begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l $		Lineage hACCNIS06	Lineage hCIAE08	Lineage hBUBT1
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Uninfected erythrocyte			
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Length	$13.0-16.0 (14.6 \pm 0.7)$	$12.6-15.8 (14.3 \pm 05)$	$9.8-13.5 (11.4 \pm 0.9)$
Area $61.1-76.0 (69.6 \pm 4.2)$ $59.8-79.0 (67.0 \pm 5.0)$ $50.0-70.4 (62.0 \pm 6.0)$ Uninfected erythrocyte nucleus $64-8.4 (7.5 \pm 0.5)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.5 \pm 0.4)$ $4.5-5.7 (5.1 \pm 0.3)$ Width $2.1-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.1-3.4 (3.1 \pm 0.3)$ $3.0-4.3 (3.2 \pm 0.3)$ Macrogametocyte $10.5-15.2 (2.1 \pm 1.4)$ $11.8-15.7 (13.3 \pm 1.1)$ $13.0-16.8 (14.8 \pm 0.9)$ Macrogametocyte $10.5-15.2 (2.1 \pm 0.7)$ $12.2-15.8 (13.8 \pm 0.9)$ $0.60-7.5 (6.7 \pm 0.5)$ $6.9-8.4 (7.8 \pm 0.5)$ Area $65.3-91.4 (77.8 \pm 7.1)$ $64.7-95.6 (7.5 \pm 0.4)$ $3.7-5.8 (4.6 \pm 0.5)$ $7.4-100.9 (8.6.2 \pm 6.1)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $11.2-7 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.1-3.4 (3.0 \pm 0.3)$ $2.9-3.9 (3.4 \pm 0.3)$ Gametocyte $10.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $10.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Gametocyte $10.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $10.2-2.12 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Gametocyte $10.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $3.6-6.9.7 (5.2 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte $10.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $3.6-6.9.7 (5.2 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte $10.9-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$	Width	$6.3-7.9~(6.7\pm0.4)$	$6.0-7.7 \ (6.6 \pm 0.3)$	$6.2-7.8~(6.8\pm0.4)$
$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Lingth} & 6.4 = 8.4 \ (7.5 \pm 0.5) & 6.0 - 7.7 \ (6.5 \pm 0.4) & 4.5 - 5.7 \ (5.1 \pm 0.3) \\ \mbox{Width} & 2.1 - 2.7 \ (2.4 \pm 0.2) & 2.1 - 3.4 \ (3.1 \pm 0.3) & 3.0 - 4.3 \ (3.7 \pm 0.3) \\ \mbox{Area} & 10.5 - 15.2 \ (12.1 \pm 1.4) & 11.8 - 15.7 \ (13.3 \pm 1.1) & 13.0 - 16.8 \ (14.8 \pm 0.9) \\ \mbox{Macroganetocyte} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Area	$61.1-76.0~(69.6 \pm 4.2)$	$59.8-79.0~(67.0 \pm 5.0)$	$50.0-70.4~(62.0 \pm 6.0)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Uninfected erythrocyte nucleus			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Length	$6.4-8.4 \ (7.5 \pm 0.5)$	$6.0-7.7 \ (6.5 \pm 0.4)$	$4.5-5.7 (5.1 \pm 0.3)$
Area $10.5-15.2$ (12.1 ± 1.4) $11.8-15.7$ (13.3 ± 1.1) $13.0-16.8$ (14.8 ± 0.9)MacrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength $14.4-17.3$ (15.6 ± 0.8) $12.9-16.9$ (15.2 ± 0.7) $12.2-15.8$ (13.8 ± 0.9)Width $5.8-7.7$ (6.9 ± 0.5) $60-7.5$ (6.7 ± 0.5) $6.9-8.4$ (7.8 ± 0.5)Area $65.3-91.4$ (77.8 ± 7.1) $64.7-94.6$ (76.0 ± 6.8) $77.4-100.9$ (86.2 ± 6.1)Infected erythrocyte nucleus $1.2-7$ (2.3 ± 0.2) $2.1-3.4$ (3.0 ± 0.3) $2.9-3.9$ (3.4 ± 0.3)Area $8.4-13.1$ (10.1 ± 1.0) $10.1-14.8$ (10.0 ± 1.0) $11.1-15.1$ (12.8 ± 1.2)Gametocyte $18.8-31.5$ (22.8 ± 3.2) $17.7-28.7$ (22.0 ± 2.2) $16.2-21.2$ (18.8 ± 1.3)Width $1.9-2.7$ (2.4 ± 0.3) $2.2-2.8$ (2.4 ± 0.2) $2.0-3.7$ (2.8 ± 0.4)Area $42.4-61.8$ (48.4 ± 4.3) $39.6-69.7$ (52.0 ± 4.8) $44.8-63.6$ (52.6 ± 5.4)Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Length $2.0-3.7$ (2.8 ± 0.4) $ -$ Mith $1.2-2.8$ (2.0 ± 0.3) $1.9-3.6$ (2.2 ± 0.4) $-$ Area $3.1-5.3$ (4.2 ± 0.6) $3.6-6.1$ (4.9 ± 0.7) $-$ Mith $1.2-2.8$ (2.0 ± 0.3) $1.9-3.6$ (2.2 ± 1.3) $1.0-19.0$ (14.5 ± 1.7)NDR 2 $0.7-11.0$ (9.5 ± 0.1) $0.8-1.1$ (0.9 ± 0.1) $0.4-0.9$ (0.6 ± 0.2)Mith $0.2-7.7$ (8.5 ± 0.4) $ -$ Area $6.2-7.95.1$ ($8.1.7 \pm 8.8$) $63.8-93.6$ ($7.5.5 \pm 6.6$) $65.8-93.6$ (82.4 ± 6.5)Infected erythr	Width	$2.1-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$	$2.1-3.4 (3.1 \pm 0.3)$	$3.0-4.3 (3.7 \pm 0.3)$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Area	$10.5-15.2 (12.1 \pm 1.4)$	$11.8-15.7 (13.3 \pm 1.1)$	$13.0-16.8 (14.8 \pm 0.9)$
Infected erythrocyte Length 14.4–17.3 (15.6 \pm 0.8) 12.9–16.9 (15.2 \pm 0.7) 12.2–15.8 (13.8 \pm 0.9) Width 5.8–7.7 (6.9 \pm 0.5) 6.0–7.5 (6.7 \pm 0.5) 6.9–8.4 (7.8 \pm 0.5) Area 65.3–91.4 (77.8 \pm 7.1) 64.7–94.6 (76.0 \pm 6.8) 77.4–100.9 (86.2 \pm 6.1) Infected erythrocyte nucleus Length 2.9–7.5 (6.7 \pm 0.5) 6.0–7.0 (6.3 \pm 0.4) 3.7–5.8 (4.6 \pm 0.5) Width 2.1–2.7 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 2.1–3.4 (3.0 \pm 0.3) 2.9–3.9 (3.4 \pm 0.3) Area 8.4–13.1 (10.1 \pm 1.0) 10.1–14.8 (10.0 \pm 1.0) 11.1–15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2) Gametocyte Length 18.8–31.5 (22.8 \pm 3.2) 17.7–28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2) 16.2–21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3) Width 19–2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3) 2.2–2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2) 2.0–3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4) Area 42.4–61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3) 39.6–69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8) 44.8–63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4) Gametocyte nucleus Length 2.0–3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4) 2.0–3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4) – Area 3.1–5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6) 3.6–6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7) – Width 1.2–2.8 (2.0 \pm 0.3) 1.9–3.6 (2.5 \pm 0.4) – Area 3.1–5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6) 3.6–6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7) – Pigment granules 6.0–14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4) 7–18 (12 \pm 2.7) 11.0–19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7) NDR ² 0.7–1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1) 0.8–1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1) 0.4–0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2) Microgametocyte Infected erythrocyte Length 0.3.1–6.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8) 63.8–93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6) 65.8–93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5) Infected erythrocyte Length 0.4–2.7 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 2.0–3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2) 2.8–3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2) Area 62.7–95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8) 63.8–93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6) 65.8–93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5) Infected erythrocyte Length 19.0–2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 2.0–3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2) 2.8–3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2) Area 8.9–12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0) 10.0–13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2) 10.1–15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4) Gametocyte Length 19.0–2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 1.0–2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2) 1.0–3.6 (2.5 \pm 0.5) 2.0–4.8 (2.4 \pm 0.5) Area 42.3–61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4) 38.6–68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1) 38.2–66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7) Gametocyte Length 19.0–2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 1.0–2.5 (1.1 \pm 0.2) 1.0–1.5.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4) Gametocyte Length 19.0–2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 1.0–2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2) 1.0–1.5.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4) Gametocyte Length 19.0–2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2) 1.	Macrogametocyte			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Infected erythrocyte			
Width $5.8-7.7 (6.9 \pm 0.5)$ $6.0-7.5 (6.7 \pm 0.5)$ $6.9-8.4 (7.8 \pm 0.5)$ Area $65.3-91.4 (77.8 \pm 7.1)$ $64.7-94.6 (76.0 \pm 6.8)$ $77.4-100.9 (86.2 \pm 6.1)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus 116 $5.9-7.5 (6.7 \pm 0.5)$ $6.0-7.0 (6.3 \pm 0.4)$ $3.7-5.8 (4.6 \pm 0.5)$ Width $2.1-2.7 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.1-3.4 (3.0 \pm 0.3)$ $2.9-3.9 (3.4 \pm 0.3)$ Area $8.4-13.1 (10.1 \pm 1.0)$ $10.1-14.8 (10.0 \pm 1.0)$ $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ Gametocyte $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ Length $18.8-31.5 (22.8 \pm 3.2)$ $17.7-28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2)$ $16.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Width $1.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleus $11.0-15.1 (2.8 \pm 1.2)$ $ -$ Length $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ $2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ NDR 2 $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ Microgametocyte $11.6-7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Microgametocyte $11.6-7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Microgametocyte $11.6-7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Microgametocyte $12.2-9.51 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ <td>Length</td> <td>$14.4-17.3 (15.6 \pm 0.8)$</td> <td>$12.9-16.9 (15.2 \pm 0.7)$</td> <td>$12.2-15.8 (13.8 \pm 0.9)$</td>	Length	$14.4-17.3 (15.6 \pm 0.8)$	$12.9-16.9 (15.2 \pm 0.7)$	$12.2-15.8 (13.8 \pm 0.9)$
Area $65.3-91.4$ (77.8 ± 7.1) $64.7-94.6$ (76.0 ± 6.8) $77.4-100.9$ (86.2 ± 6.1) Infected erythrocyte nucleus $5.9-7.5$ (6.7 ± 0.5) $6.0-7.0$ (6.3 ± 0.4) $3.7-5.8$ (4.6 ± 0.5) Width $2.1-2.7$ (2.3 ± 0.2) $2.1-3.4$ (3.0 ± 0.3) $2.9-3.9$ (3.4 ± 0.3) Area $8.4-13.1$ (10.1 ± 1.0) $10.1-14.8$ (10.0 ± 1.0) $11.1-15.1$ (12.8 ± 1.2) Gametocyte $10.1-14.8$ (10.0 ± 1.0) $11.1-15.1$ (12.8 ± 1.2) Length $18.8-31.5$ (22.8 ± 3.2) $17.7-28.7$ (22.0 ± 2.2) $16.2-21.2$ (18.8 ± 1.3) Width $1.9-2.7$ (2.4 ± 0.3) $2.2-2.8$ (2.4 ± 0.2) $2.0-3.7$ (2.8 ± 0.4) Area $42.4-61.8$ (48.4 ± 4.3) $39.6-69.7$ (52.0 ± 4.8) $44.8-63.6$ (52.6 ± 5.4) Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Width $1.2-2.8$ (2.0 ± 0.3) $1.9-3.6$ (2.5 ± 0.4) $-$ Area $3.1-5.3$ (4.2 ± 0.6) $3.6-6.1$ (4.9 ± 0.7) $-$ Area $3.1-5.3$ (4.2 ± 0.6) $3.6-6.1$ (4.9 ± 0.7) $-$ NDR 2 $0.7-1.1$ (0.9 ± 0.1) $0.8-1.1$ (0.9 ± 0.1) $0.4-0.9$ (0.6 ± 0.2) Microgametocyte $13.1-16.7$ (15.1 ± 0.8) $13.9-16.6$ (15.0 ± 0.6) $12.2-14.4$ (13.4 ± 0.5) Nicth $60-7.8$ (6.9 ± 0.4) $6.0-7.9$ (6.8 ± 0.4) $6.5-8.8$ (7.7 ± 0.5) Area $6.7-9.51.1$ (81.7 ± 8.8) $63.8-93.6$ (75.5 ± 6.6) $65.8-93.6$ (82.4 ± 6.5) Infected erythrocyte $12.2-14.4$ (13.4 ± 0.5) $10.0-13.8$ (11.0 ± 1.2) $10.1-15.1$ (12.5 ± 1.4) Width $1.9-2.8$ $(2.$	Width	$5.8-7.7~(6.9\pm0.5)$	$6.0-7.5~(6.7\pm0.5)$	6.9-8.4 (7.8 ± 0.5)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Area	65.3-91.4 (77.8 ± 7.1)	$64.7 - 94.6 \ (76.0 \pm 6.8)$	$77.4-100.9 (86.2 \pm 6.1)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Infected erythrocyte nucleus	· · · · ·		· · · · · ·
Width $2.1-2.7 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.1-3.4 (3.0 \pm 0.3)$ $2.9-3.9 (3.4 \pm 0.3)$ Area $8.4-13.1 (10.1 \pm 1.0)$ $10.1-14.8 (10.0 \pm 1.0)$ $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ Gametocyte $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ Length $18.8-31.5 (22.8 \pm 3.2)$ $17.7-28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2)$ $16.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Width $1.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Length $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ $2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (42.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ NDR 2 $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ Microgametocyte $11.1-6.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Microgametocyte $12.2-19.5 1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $192.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $11.0-9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ <	Length	$5.9-7.5~(6.7\pm0.5)$	$6.0-7.0~(6.3 \pm 0.4)$	3.7-5.8 (4.6 ± 0.5)
Area $8.4-13.1 (10.1 \pm 1.0)$ $10.1-14.8 (10.0 \pm 1.0)$ $11.1-15.1 (12.8 \pm 1.2)$ GametocyteIs.8-31.5 (22.8 ± 3.2) $17.7-28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2)$ $16.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Width $1.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleusImage and the state of the s	Width	$2.1-2.7(2.3 \pm 0.2)$	$2.1-3.4(3.0\pm0.3)$	$2.9-3.9(3.4 \pm 0.3)$
GametocyteInterventionInterventionLength $18.8-31.5 (22.8 \pm 3.2)$ $17.7-28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2)$ $16.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$ Width $1.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleusImage: Constraint of the state of the sta	Area	$8.4-13.1(10.1 \pm 1.0)$	$10.1 - 14.8 (10.0 \pm 1.0)$	11.1-15.1 (12.8 ± 1.2)
Length 18.8–31.5 (22.8 ± 3.2) 17.7–28.7 (22.0 ± 2.2) 16.2–21.2 (18.8 ± 1.3) Width 1.9–2.7 (2.4 ± 0.3) 2.2–2.8 (2.4 ± 0.2) 2.0–3.7 (2.8 ± 0.4) Area 42.4–61.8 (48.4 ± 4.3) 39.6–69.7 (52.0 ± 4.8) 44.8–63.6 (52.6 ± 5.4) Gametocyte nucleus Length 2.0–3.7 (2.8 ± 0.4) 2.0–3.9 (2.9 ± 0.4) – Width 1.2–2.8 (2.0 ± 0.3) 1.9–3.6 (2.5 ± 0.4) – Area 3.1–5.3 (4.2 ± 0.6) 3.6–6.1 (4.9 ± 0.7) – Pigment granules 6.0–14.0 (9.4 ± 2.4) 7–18 (12 ± 2.7) 11.0–19.0 (14.5 ± 1.7) NDR ² 0.7–1.1 (0.9 ± 0.1) 0.8–1.1 (0.9 ± 0.1) 0.4–0.9 (0.6 ± 0.2) Microgrametocyte Length 13.1–16.7 (15.1 ± 0.8) 13.9–16.6 (15.0 ± 0.6) 12.2–14.4 (13.4 ± 0.5) Width 6.0–7.8 (6.9 ± 0.4) 6.0–7.9 (6.8 ± 0.4) 6.5–8.8 (7.7 ± 0.5) Area 62.7–95.1 (81.7 ± 8.8) 63.8–93.6 (75.5 ± 6.6) 65.8–93.6 (82.4 ± 6.5) Infected erythrocyte Length 5.6–7.7 (6.6 ± 0.6) 6.0–7.7 (6.1 ± 0.4) 4.2–5.8 (4.9 ± 0.4) Width 1.9–2.8 (2.3 ± 0.2) 2.0–3.6 (3.5 ± 0.2) 2.8–3.7 (3.3 ± 0.2) Area 8.9–12.7 (10.5 ± 1.0) 10.0–13.8 (11.0 ± 1.2) 10.1–15.1 (12.5 ± 1.4) Gametocyte Length 19.0–28.7 (23.9 ± 3.2) 18.8–28.0 (21.0 ± 2.1) 17.9–24.0 (20.9 ± 1.5) Width 1.9–3.4 (2.5 ± 0.3) 1.62–2.8 (2.4 ± 0.2) 1.6–3.6 (2.6 ± 0.5) Area 42.3–61.5 (52.4 ± 5.4) 38.6–68.7 (50.0 ± 5.1) 38.2–66.6 (50.9 ± 6.7) Gametocyte nucleus Length 5.8–8.1 (7.0 ± 0.7) – ³ – Mictual 1.8–2.6 (2.1 ± 0.2) – Area 9.6–21.9 (15.1 ± 3.1) – Pigment granules 7–19 (13.4 ± 3.1) ⁴ 8–21 (14 ± 3.2) 13.0–25.0 (18.8 ± 3.1)	Gametocyte			
Widh $1.9-2.7 (2.4 \pm 0.3)$ $2.2-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleus $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ $2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Length $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ $2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ Pigment granules $6.0-14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4)$ $7-18 (12 \pm 2.7)$ $11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)$ NDR ² $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ MicrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength $13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Width $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleusImage: Comparison of the erythrocyte nucleus $C.0-3.9 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $1015.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ GametocyteImage: Comparison of the erythold $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $1015.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ GametocyteImage: Comparison of the erythold $1.9-2.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.6-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $1015.1 (12.5$	Length	$18.8 - 31.5 (22.8 \pm 3.2)$	$17.7 - 28.7 (22.0 \pm 2.2)$	$16.2-21.2 (18.8 \pm 1.3)$
Area $42.4-61.8 (48.4 \pm 4.3)$ $39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$ $44.8-63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$ Gametocyte nucleus $2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)$ $2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Width $1.2-2.8 (2.0 \pm 0.3)$ $1.9-3.6 (2.5 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ Pigment granules $6.0-14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4)$ $7-18 (12 \pm 2.7)$ $11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)$ NDR 2 $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ Microgametocyte $11.6-7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Infected erythrocyte $12.6-7.95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $192.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Length $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.1 \pm 0.4)$ $4.2-5.8 (4.9 \pm 0.4)$ Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Gametocyte $ -$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte $ -$ Infected erythrocyte $ -$ Reagth $9.6-(21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ <td< td=""><td>Width</td><td>1.9-2.7 (2.4 ± 0.3)</td><td>$2.2-2.8(2.4 \pm 0.2)$</td><td>2.0-3.7 (2.8 ± 0.4)</td></td<>	Width	1.9-2.7 (2.4 ± 0.3)	$2.2-2.8(2.4 \pm 0.2)$	2.0-3.7 (2.8 ± 0.4)
Gametocyte nucleus2.0-3.7 (2.8 \pm 0.4)2.0-3.9 (2.9 \pm 0.4)-Width1.2-2.8 (2.0 \pm 0.3)1.9-3.6 (2.5 \pm 0.4)-Area3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)-Pigment granules6.0-14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4)7-18 (12 \pm 2.7)11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)NDR ² 0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)MicrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)Width6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)Area62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)Infected erythrocyte nucleusImage: Comparison of the term of te	Area	$42.4-61.8(48.4 \pm 4.3)$	$39.6-69.7 (52.0 \pm 4.8)$	$44.8 - 63.6 (52.6 \pm 5.4)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Gametocyte nucleus	× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Width $1.2-2.8 (2.0 \pm 0.3)$ $1.9-3.6 (2.5 \pm 0.4)$ $-$ Area $3.1-5.3 (4.2 \pm 0.6)$ $3.6-6.1 (4.9 \pm 0.7)$ $-$ Pigment granules $6.0-14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4)$ $7-18 (12 \pm 2.7)$ $11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)$ NDR ² $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ MicrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength $13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Width $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleusImage: Contrast on the end of the	Length	2.0-3.7 (2.8 ± 0.4)	$2.0-3.9(2.9 \pm 0.4)$	_
Area $3.1-5.3$ (4.2 ± 0.6) $3.6-6.1$ (4.9 ± 0.7) $-$ Pigment granules $6.0-14.0$ (9.4 ± 2.4) $7-18$ (12 ± 2.7) $11.0-19.0$ (14.5 ± 1.7)NDR 2 $0.7-1.1$ (0.9 ± 0.1) $0.8-1.1$ (0.9 ± 0.1) $0.4-0.9$ (0.6 ± 0.2)MicrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength $13.1-16.7$ (15.1 ± 0.8) $13.9-16.6$ (15.0 ± 0.6) $12.2-14.4$ (13.4 ± 0.5)Width $6.0-7.8$ (6.9 ± 0.4) $6.0-7.9$ (6.8 ± 0.4) $6.5-8.8$ (7.7 ± 0.5)Area $62.7-95.1$ (81.7 ± 8.8) $63.8-93.6$ (75.5 ± 6.6) $65.8-93.6$ (82.4 ± 6.5)Infected erythrocyte nucleusLength $5.6-7.7$ (6.6 ± 0.6) $6.0-7.7$ (6.1 ± 0.4) $4.2-5.8$ (4.9 ± 0.4)Width $1.9-2.8$ (2.3 ± 0.2) $2.0-3.6$ (3.5 ± 0.2) $2.8-3.7$ (3.3 ± 0.2)Area $8.9-12.7$ (10.5 ± 1.0) $10.0-13.8$ (11.0 ± 1.2) $10.1-15.1$ (12.5 ± 1.4)GametocyteLength $19.0-28.7$ (23.9 ± 3.2) $18.8-28.0$ (21.0 ± 2.1) $17.9-24.0$ (20.9 ± 1.5)Width $1.9-3.4$ (2.5 ± 0.3) $1.62-2.8$ (2.4 ± 0.2) $1.6-3.6$ (2.6 ± 0.5)Area $42.3-61.5$ (52.4 ± 5.4) $38.6-68.7$ (50.0 ± 5.1) $38.2-66.6$ (50.9 ± 6.7)Gametocyte nucleusLength $5.8-8.1$ (7.0 ± 0.7) -3 $-$ Nidth $1.8-2.6$ (2.1 ± 0.2) $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9$ (15.1 ± 3.1) $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19$ (13.4 ± 3.1) 4 $8-21$ (14 ± 3.2) $13.0-25.0$ (18.8 ± 3.1) <td>Width</td> <td>$1.2-2.8(2.0\pm0.3)$</td> <td>$1.9-3.6(2.5 \pm 0.4)$</td> <td>-</td>	Width	$1.2-2.8(2.0\pm0.3)$	$1.9-3.6(2.5 \pm 0.4)$	-
Pigment granules NDR 2 $6.0-14.0 (9.4 \pm 2.4)$ $0.7-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $7-18 (12 \pm 2.7)$ $0.8-1.1 (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ $11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)$ $0.4-0.9 (0.6 \pm 0.2)$ Microgametocyte Infected erythrocyte Length $13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus Length $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte Length $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleusLength $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ -3 $-$ Length $5.8-6.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ -3 $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$	Area	3.1-5.3 (4.2 ± 0.6)	$3.6-6.1(4.9 \pm 0.7)$	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pigment granules	6.0-14.0 (9.4 ± 2.4)	$7-18(12 \pm 2.7)$	$11.0-19.0 (14.5 \pm 1.7)$
Init of a longInit (ab long)Init (ab long)Init (ab long)Init (ab long)MicrogametocyteInfected erythrocyteLength13.1–16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)13.9–16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)12.2–14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)Width6.0–7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)6.0–7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)6.5–8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)Area62.7–95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)63.8–93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)65.8–93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)Infected erythrocyte nucleusInfected erythrocyte nucleus2.0–3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)2.8–3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)Area8.9–12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)10.0–13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)10.1–15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)GametocyteInfected erythrocyte nucleus1.9–3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)1.62–2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)1.6–3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)Area42.3–61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)38.6–68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)38.2–66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)Gametocyte nucleusInferenceInferenceInferenceLength1.9–3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)1.62–2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)1.6–3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)Area42.3–61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)38.6–68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)38.2–66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)Gametocyte nucleusInferenceInferenceInferenceLength5.8–8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)-3-Area9.6–21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)Area9.6–21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)Pigment granules7–19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.)48–21 (14 \pm 3.2)13.0–25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)	NDR ²	0.7 - 1.1 (0.9 + 0.1)	0.8 - 1.1 (0.9 + 0.1)	0.4-0.9(0.6+0.2)
Infected erythrocyte Length $13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Width $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus Length $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.1 \pm 0.4)$ $4.2-5.8 (4.9 \pm 0.4)$ Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte Length $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $-^3$ $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Microgametocyte			
Length $13.1-16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$ $13.9-16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$ $12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$ Width $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $19-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $18-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ -3^3 $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)$ 4 $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Infected erythrocyte			
Width $6.0-7.8 (6.9 \pm 0.4)$ $6.0-7.9 (6.8 \pm 0.4)$ $6.5-8.8 (7.7 \pm 0.5)$ Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $Length$ $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.1 \pm 0.4)$ $4.2-5.8 (4.9 \pm 0.4)$ Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $Length$ $1.9-2.8.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $Length$ $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $-$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $-^3$ $-$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Length	$13.1 - 16.7 (15.1 \pm 0.8)$	$13.9 - 16.6 (15.0 \pm 0.6)$	$12.2-14.4 (13.4 \pm 0.5)$
Area $62.7-95.1 (81.7 \pm 8.8)$ $63.8-93.6 (75.5 \pm 6.6)$ $65.8-93.6 (82.4 \pm 6.5)$ Infected erythrocyte nucleus $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.1 \pm 0.4)$ $4.2-5.8 (4.9 \pm 0.4)$ Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Width	6.0-7.8 (6.9 ± 0.4)	6.0-7.9 (6.8 ± 0.4)	$6.5-8.8(7.7\pm0.5)$
Infected erythrocyte nucleus $5.6-7.7 (6.6 \pm 0.6)$ $6.0-7.7 (6.1 \pm 0.4)$ $4.2-5.8 (4.9 \pm 0.4)$ Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)$ 4 $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Area	62.7-95.1 (81.7 ± 8.8)	63.8-93.6 (75.5 ± 6.6)	65.8-93.6 (82.4 ± 6.5)
Interest of the field of th	Infected erythrocyte nucleus			
Width $1.9-2.8 (2.3 \pm 0.2)$ $2.0-3.6 (3.5 \pm 0.2)$ $2.8-3.7 (3.3 \pm 0.2)$ Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $ -$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)$ 4 $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Length	5.6-7.7 (6.6 ± 0.6)	6.0-7.7 (6.1 ± 0.4)	$4.2-5.8(4.9\pm0.4)$
Area $8.9-12.7 (10.5 \pm 1.0)$ $10.0-13.8 (11.0 \pm 1.2)$ $10.1-15.1 (12.5 \pm 1.4)$ Gametocyte $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $ -$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $ -$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Width	1.9-2.8(2.3+0.2)	2.0-3.6(3.5+0.2)	2.8-3.7(3.3+0.2)
Gametocyte19.0–28.7 (23.9 ± 3.2) 18.8–28.0 (21.0 ± 2.1) 17.9–24.0 (20.9 ± 1.5) Width1.9–3.4 (2.5 ± 0.3) 1.62–2.8 (2.4 ± 0.2) 1.6–3.6 (2.6 ± 0.5) Area42.3–61.5 (52.4 ± 5.4) 38.6–68.7 (50.0 ± 5.1) 38.2–66.6 (50.9 ± 6.7) Gametocyte nucleusLength5.8–8.1 (7.0 ± 0.7) Area9.6–21.9 (15.1 ± 3.1) Pigment granules7–19 $(13.4 \pm 3.1.)^4$ 8–21 (14 ± 3.2) 13.0–25.0 (18.8 ± 3.1)	Area	8.9-12.7 (10.5 + 1.0)	10.0-13.8(11.0 + 1.2)	10.1-15.1 (12.5 + 1.4)
Length $19.0-28.7 (23.9 \pm 3.2)$ $18.8-28.0 (21.0 \pm 2.1)$ $17.9-24.0 (20.9 \pm 1.5)$ Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus -3 $-$ Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ -3 $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Gametocyte		1010 1010 (1110 2 112)	
Width $1.9-3.4 (2.5 \pm 0.3)$ $1.62-2.8 (2.4 \pm 0.2)$ $1.6-3.6 (2.6 \pm 0.5)$ Area $42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)$ $38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)$ $38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)$ Gametocyte nucleus $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $-^3$ $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Length	190-287(239+32)	188 - 280(210 + 21)	179 - 240(209 + 15)
Area10 511 (2.5 \pm 5.4)110 210 (2.1 \pm 0.2)110 510 (2.6 \pm 0.5)Gametocyte nucleus42.3-61.5 (52.4 \pm 5.4)38.6-68.7 (50.0 \pm 5.1)38.2-66.6 (50.9 \pm 6.7)Gametocyte nucleus5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7) $-^3$ $-$ Width1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2) $ -$ Area9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1) $ -$ Pigment granules7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.) 4 8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)	Width	19-34(25+03)	162 - 28(24 + 02)	16-36(26+05)
Gametocyte nucleusLength $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $-^3$ $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Area	42.3-61.5(52.4+5.4)	38.6-68.7(50.0 + 5.1)	38.2-66.6(50.9 + 6.7)
Length $5.8-8.1 (7.0 \pm 0.7)$ $-^3$ $-$ Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Gametocyte nucleus			
Width $1.8-2.6 (2.1 \pm 0.2)$ $ -$ Area $9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)$ $ -$ Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Length	5.8 - 8.1 (7.0 + 0.7)	_ 3	_
Area9.6-21.9 (15.1 \pm 3.1)Pigment granules7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1.)48-21 (14 \pm 3.2)13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)	Width	1.8-2.6(2.1+0.2)	_	_
Pigment granules $7-19 (13.4 \pm 3.1)^4$ $8-21 (14 \pm 3.2)$ $13.0-25.0 (18.8 \pm 3.1)$	Area	9.6-21.9(151+31)	_	_
$1.5.0-25.0 (10.0 \pm 5.1)$	Pigment granules	$7-19(13.4 + 3.1)^4$	8-21(14+32)	13.0-25.0 (188 + 31)
NDR $0.7-1.2(0.8+0.1)$ $0.8-1.1(0.9+0.1)$ $0.4-1.1(0.7+0.2)$	NDR	0.7-1.2 (0.8 + 0.1)	0.8-1.1(0.9+0.1)	0.4 - 1.1 (0.7 + 0.2)

Table 1. Morphometry of host cells and mature gametocytes of *Haemoproteus nisi* from *Accipiter nisus* (lineage hACCNIS06), *Circus aeruginosus* (hCIAE08), and *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. (hBUBT1) from *Buteo buteo*.

¹ All measurements (n = 21) are given in micrometres. Minimum and maximum values are provided, followed in parentheses by the arithmetic mean and standard deviation.

 2 NDR = nucleus displacement ratio according to Bennett and Campbell (1972).

³ Microgametocyte nuclei were markedly diffuse and difficult to measure.

⁴ Pigment granules were difficult to calculate because they were masked by densely stained volutin.

tend to not adhere to the erythrocytes' nuclei (Figs. 1b, 1e). The central part of the advanced growing gametocytes is closely appressed to the erythrocyte's envelope, but the ends of the gametocytes usually do not (Figs. 1b, 1c, 1g). Advanced growing gametocytes often do not adhere to the erythrocyte's

nucleus (Figs. 1b, 1e), but forms adhering to the nucleus are also common (Figs. 1c, 1f, 1g). Fully grown gametocytes are appressed to the nucleus and envelop of infected erythrocytes (Figs. 1d, 1h); they are circumnuclear and often completely encircle the nucleus (Figs. 1d, 1h) and can occasionally even

occupy the entire cytoplasmic space in infected erythrocytes. The cytoplasm of the macrogametocytes is granular in appearance and contains a few small vacuoles (Figs. 1b, 1h). Volutin granules are abundant and clumped; they obscure pigment granules (Figs. 1b-1d, 1f-1h). The outline of the gametocytes varies markedly; it can be even, slightly wavy, or ameboid. The macrogametocytes' nuclei are compact, variable in form, and median or sub-median in position (Figs. 1a, 1b). The pigment granules are predominantly oval, sometimes roundish, of medium size (0.5-1 µm), randomly scattered throughout the cytoplasm. The configuration of microgametocytes (Figs. 1e-1h) resembles that of the macrogametocytes with the usual sexual dimorphic characters, which are the paler stained cytoplasm, the diffuse centrally located nucleus and the predominant gathering of pigment granules close to the ends of the gametocytes (Figs. 1f-1h). Voucher blood preparation of H. nisi lineage hACCNIS06 (accession no. MNHN-IR-2023-01, 12 September 2013, HFS Goupil connexion, Hérault, France, collected by Dr. DVM Marie-Pierre Puech) was deposited in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris. Representative DNA sequences: Mitochondrial cytb lineage hACCNIS06 (GenBank accession OR078933 and OR078931).

Morphological characterisation of *H. nisi* Peirce and Marquiss, 1983, the parasite lineage hCIAE08 found in an additional (non-type) host *C. aeruginosus* (lineage hCIAE08, Figs. 2a–2l, Table 1).

The main diagnostic characters of *H. nisi* from *C. aeruginosus* coincide with former descriptions [44, 53]. Molecular characterisation of the parasite lineage hCIAE08 was developed for the first time. The main features of hCIAE08 blood stages are as follows.

Macrogametocytes and microgametocytes grow around the nucleus of infected erythrocytes, they markedly enclose the nucleus with their ends but do not displace or only slightly displace it laterally (Figs. 2a-2l). The central part of the growing gametocytes predominantly adheres to the erythrocyte envelope but the ends of the gametocyte usually do not (Figs. 2c-2f, 2i-2k); the advanced growing gametocytes tend to adhere to nuclei of infected erythrocytes (Figs. 2b, 2d), but gametocytes not adhering to the nuclei were also seen (Figs. 2c, 2e, 2i). Fully grown gametocytes are circumnuclear; they often nearly completely or completely encircle the nuclei (Figs. 2f-2h) and can occasionally occupy the entire cytoplasmic space in infected erythrocytes. The cytoplasm of the macrogametocyte is heterogeneous in appearance and contains a few small vacuoles (Figs. 2d, 2g, 2l); volutin is present and seen as densely stained clamps of variable shape (Figs. 2d, 2e, 2g, 2h, 2i); the volutin might obscure the pigment granules, but not noticeably (Fig. 2h). The outline of gametocytes varies markedly; it can be even, slightly wavy or ameboid. The nuclei of the macrogametocyte are compact, variable in form, and median or sub-median in position (Figs. 2c, 2d, 2h). The pigment granules are roundish or oval, of medium size (0.5-1 µm), and randomly scattered throughout the cytoplasm (Figs. 2d, 2f, 2i, 2k). The configuration of the microgametocytes (Figs. 2i-2l) resembles that of the macrogametocytes with the usual sexual dimorphic characters, which are the paler stained cytoplasm, diffuse centrally located nuclei (Figs. 2i, 2l) and the predominant gathering of pigment granules close to ends of the gametocytes (Figs. 2i, 2j, 2l). Volutin is less evident in microgametocytes compared to macrogametocytes (compare Figs. 2e, 2g, 2h and 2i). Voucher blood preparation of *H. nisi* lineage hCIAE08 (accession no. MNHN-IR-2023-02, 4 April 2019, HFS Goupil connexion, Hérault, France, collected by Dr DVM Marie-Pierre Puech) was deposited in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris. Representative DNA sequences: Mitochondrial *cytb* lineage hCIAE08 (GenBank accession OR078934).

Haemoproteus gametocytes observed in blood smears of the two *C. aeruginosus* infected with the parasite lineage hCIAE08 were morphologically similar to *H. nisi* hACCNIS06, but some differences were seen, mainly regarding the morphology of the volutin, which was more granular in appearance and more densely stained in parasites of the lineage hACCNIS06 (compare Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

Description of *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp.

Haemoproteus multivacuolatus n. sp. (lineage hBUBT1, Figs. 3a-3p, Table1).

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:22936DEA-BBFD-4FEA-9CB1-B64138A17035

Type-host: Common buzzard *Buteo buteo* (Accipitriformes). Type locality: Osnabrück, Lower Saxony, Germany.

Type specimens: Hapantotype (intensity of parasitemia is 8.3%, *Buteo buteo*, approximately 4-week-old nestling, Osnabrück, Lower Saxony, Germany, N52.12535° E8.37812°, coll. N. Chakarov, July 2, 2019, *Leucocytozoon toddi* IMILANS04 is present) was deposited in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (accession no. MNHN-IR-2023-03). Parahapantotypes (no. 49491NS, a duplicate of the hapantotype and no. 49492NS, intensity of parasitemia 12.2%, *B. buteo*, Austria, *Leucocytozoon toddi* IMILANS04 is present) were deposited in the Nature Research Centre, Vilnius.

Additional material: Voucher blood films were deposited in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (accession no. MNHN-IR-2023-04).

Representative DNA sequences: Mitochondrial *cytb* lineage hBUBT1 (GenBank accession OR078932 and OR078930).

Distribution: Gametocytes were found in the type host *B. buteo* in Austria, Germany, and France. Transmission probably occurs throughout the breeding range of *B. buteo*. The lineage hBUBT1 was also found in five *A. nisus* individuals from France. However, the presence of gametocytes was not documented, so it remains unclear if the parasite completes the life cycle in this bird species.

Etymology: The species name reflects the markedly vacuolated appearance of the cytoplasm in both macrogametocytes and microgametocytes, resulting in minor differences between these types of gametocytes regarding the staining intensity (compare Figs. 3e–3h and Figs. 3i–3p).

Young gametocytes (Figs. 3a–3d) were seen anywhere in infected erythrocytes but more often occupied polar or subpolar positions in the host cells (Figs. 3a, 3b). As the gametocytes grow, they adhere to the nuclei of the erythrocytes and extend along the nuclei; they often assume asymmetrical positions regarding the nuclei, and this often leads to the appearance of



Figure 2. *Haemoproteus nisi* (lineage hCIAE08) from the blood of Western marsh harrier *Circus aeruginosus*: a – young gametocytes, b–h – macrogametocytes, i–l – microgametocytes. Long simple arrows – nuclei of parasites. Short simple arrows – vacuoles. Simple arrowhead – pigment granules. Triangle arrowheads – clamps of volutin. Simple wide long arrows – spaces between gametocytes and erythrocyte nuclei. Giemsa-stained thin blood films. Scale bar = 10 μ m.

comma-like gametocyte forms (Figs. 3c, 3d). Growing gametocytes often do not adhere to the erythrocyte envelope (Fig. 3c).

Macrogametocytes (Figs. 3e–3h; Table 1) are markedly vacuolated, lack volutin granules, and the cytoplasm stains relatively pale in comparison to microgametocytes (compare Figs. 3e–3h and Figs. 3i–3p), which is a characteristic feature of this parasite. Gametocytes extend along nuclei of erythrocytes (Fig. 3e); they markedly enclose the erythrocyte nuclei with their ends (Figs. 3f, 3g) and finally completely encircle the nuclei (Fig. 3h). Advanced and fully grown gametocytes are closely appressed to the nucleus and envelope of the erythrocytes; however, they usually do not fill poles of erythrocytes completely, resulting in the presence of more or less visible unfilled spaces on the poles (Figs. 3f–3h). The outline of gametocytes is predominantly wavy, sometimes slightly ameboid or even. Parasite nucleus median or submedian in position, markedly variable in form and outline; its boundaries were poorly visible (Figs. 3e–3h), which is a rare character in macrogametocytes of avian haemoproteids. Pigment granules are predominantly oval, sometimes roundish, of small (<0.5 µm) and average (0.5–1 µm) size, randomly scattered throughout the cytoplasm. Nuclei of infected erythrocytes only slightly displaced laterally (Figs. 3e–3h); however, advanced growing gametocytes (Figs. 3e–3g) and fully grown gametocytes (Fig. 3h) cause marked enlargement of infected erythrocytes in length (p < 0.01) and particularly in area (p < 0.001) in comparison to uninfected erythrocytes (Table 1), a characteristic feature of this species, which was readily recognisable in blood films even without statistical analysis (see Figs. 3e, 3g, 3k, 3m for comparison of the size of the infected and uninfected erythrocytes).

Microgametocytes (Figs. 3i–3p; Table 1) are similar to macrogametocytes in general configuration and other features. Gametocyte nuclei are markedly diffuse, of irregular form.



Figure 3. *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp. (lineage hBUBT1) from the blood of the Common buzzard Buteo buteo: $a-d - young gametocytes, e-h - macrogametocytes, i-p - microgametocytes. Long simple arrows - nuclei of parasites. Short simple arrows - vacuoles. Simple arrowhead - pigment granules. Triangle arrowheads - volutin granules. Note that due to marked vacuolisation, the cytoplasm of macrogametocytes stains relatively pale and looks similar to microgametocytes based on the intensity of staining. Giemsa-stained thin blood films. Scale bar = 10 <math>\mu$ m. All images were from the hapantotype preparation.

The outline of nuclei is poorly recognisable, so the nuclei were difficult to measure.

Taxonomic remarks: Four distinct morphospecies of *Haemoproteus* parasites were described in birds of the order

Accipitriformes. These are *H. elani* Mello, 1935, *H. buteonis* Wingstrand, 1947, *H. janovyi* Greiner, Mundy, 1979, and *H. nisi* Peirce, Marquiss, 1983. *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp. can be readily distinguished from all these parasites,

11

Table 2. *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. hBUTBUT01, *H. nisi* hACCNIS06 and *H. nisi* hCIAE08, their accipitriform host species and their overall prevalence in France, Austria and Germany.

Haemoproteus morphospecies	Host species	Overall prevalence
H. multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1	Common Buzzard, Buteo buteo (France)	53/277 (19.1%)
	Eurasian Sparrowhawk, Accipiter nisus (France)	5/131 (3.8%)
	Common Buzzard, Buteo buteo (Austria)	26/70 (37.1%)
	Common Buzzard, Buteo buteo (Germany)	69/832 (8.3%)
H. nisi hACCNIS06	Eurasian Sparrowhawk, Accipiter nisus (France)	22/131 (16.8%)
	Eurasian Sparrowhawk, Accipiter nisus (Austria)	4/22 (18.2%)
H. nisi hCIAE08	Western Marsh Harrier, Circus aeruginosus (France)	2/4 (40%)
	Common Buzzard, Buteo buteo (France)	1/277 (4.4%)
	Western Marsh Harrier, Circus aeruginosus (Austria)	7/22 (31.8%)

primarily due to remarkable hypertrophy of infected erythrocytes in area (Table 1; compare the appearance of infected and uninfected erythrocytes in Fig. 3). Slight enlargement of infected erythrocytes by fully grown gametocytes might occur in other haemoproteids, but this feature is not as distinct in other species parasitising accipitriform birds (Table 1). It is important to note that even growing gametocytes of *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. induce marked enlargement of infected erythrocytes (Figs. 3d, 3e); that is not the case in any other haemoproteids parasitising accipitriform birds. Additionally, fully grown gametocytes of *H. buteonis* and *H. elani* are markedly halteridial [58], which is not the case in *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp.

It is important to note that the identification of *H. multivac-uolatus* n. sp. might be difficult if only growing gametocytes are present in a sample. Such blood samples from *B. buteo* were common in this study. If parasitaemia is represented only by growing gametocytes (Figs. 3e, 3i-3k) but fully grown (circum-nuclear) gametocytes (Figs. 3h, 3o, 3p) are absent, *H. multivac-uolatus* n. sp. identification might be difficult due to similarities with fully grown gametocytes of *H. buteonis* and *H. elani*. Molecular characterisation would be particularly helpful in this case.

Circumnuclear fully grown gametocytes predominate in both lineages of *H. nisi* (hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08) (Figs. 1, 2) and *H. janovyi* [56]. *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp. can be readily distinguished from both *H. nisi* lineages due to the lack of volutin and the marked vacuolisation of gametocyte cytoplasm in the former. Fully grown gametocytes of *H. janovyi* predominantly occupy all available space in infected erythrocytes and are also markedly pleomorphic in morphology [58]; both these characters are not features of *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp.

Molecular analyses

A minimum of 300 reads that aligned to the reference sequence were obtained for amplicons 1 and 2, covering the whole 478 bp *cytb* barcode sequence, for all DNA barcodes of *Haemoproteus* parasites of Accipitriformes from France. The sequence analysis revealed five different *cytb* lineages; three of which belonged to *Haemoproteus* parasites (hBUBT1, hCIAE08, and hACCNIS06) and two to *Plasmodium* parasites (pTURDUS1, pBT7).

Haemoproteus multivacuolatus hBUBT1 was found in 53/ 53 (100%) B. buteo and 5/22 (22.7%) A. nisus, H. nisi hACC-NIS06 was found in 22/22 (100%) A. nisus, and H. nisi hCIAE08 was found in 2/2 (100%) *C. aeruginosus* and 1/53 (1.9%) *B. buteo*. Some individuals had co-infections with *Plasmodium circumflexum* pTURDUS1, which was found in 7/22 (31.8%) *A. nisus* and 1/53 (1.9%) *B. buteo*, and *P. circumflexum* pBT7, which was found in 2/22 (9.1%) *A. nisus* and 5/53 (9.4%) *B. buteo*. Mixed infections with different *Haemoproteus* parasite lineages were present in 1/53 (1.9%) *B. buteo* (hBUBT1/hCIAE08 lineages), 5/22 (22.7%) *A. nisus* (hBUBT1/hACCNIS06 lineages), and none of the 2 *C. aeruginosus* (Table 2).

The molecular screening of samples from Austria specifically targeted *H. nisi* species group. Sequences retrieved with other primer sets from the same sample were published previously [18]. For the present study, we screened 171 Accipitridae from 15 species and found 42 (24.6%) individuals infected with *Haemoproteus* parasites of the Accipitridae group. *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* hBUBT1 was detected in 26/70 *B. buteo* (37.1%), *H. nisi* hACCNIS06 was found in 4/22 *A. nisus* (18.2%), and *H. nisi* hCIAE08 in 7/22 *C. aeruginosus* (31.8%). Three more *cytb* lineages were found in a few individuals only: *Haemoproteus* sp. hACCNIS07 was found in one *A. gentilis* (11.1%), one *A. nisus* (4.6%), and one *C. aeruginosus* (4.6%). One *A. gentilis* (11.1%) and one *A. nisus* (4.6%) featured co-infections with *Haemoproteus* sp. hACCNIS08 and *Haemoproteus* sp. hACCNIS09 (Table 2).

In Germany, 832 *B. buteo* nestlings were screened, of which 69 (8.3%) were infected with *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. hBUBT1 (Table 2).

Of the six Haemoproteus lineages found in the present study, complete cytb barcode sequences were only published for the lineages hBUBT1 and hCIAE08. Lineage hBUBT1 was reported from single individuals of B. buteo in Germany [29] and Austria [18], who referred to hBUBT1 as "Haemoproteus elani". Lineage hCIAE08 was published from one C. aeruginosus in Austria under the name "Haemoproteus aff. [affinis] elani" [18]. However, the morphology of the two parasite lineages had not been assessed previously. In the present study, hBUBT1 was linked to the new species H. multivacuolatus n. sp., and hCIAE08 and hACCNIS06 were linked to H. nisi. A partial sequence of lineage hACCNIS06 (ON375836, 424 bp) from A. nisus in Czechia was published by Svobodová et al. [51]. The latter authors also published a partial sequence of hACCNIS07 (ON375837, 384 bp) from the same host, which we found in one A. gentilis, A. nisus, and C. aeruginosus in Austria. Apart from the latter four lineages, we detected for the first time hACCNIS08 and



Figure 4. Median-Joining DNA haplotype network showing the host and geographic distribution of six *Haemoproteus nisi* group lineages (478 bp *cytb* sequences) found in accipitriform raptors from Austria and France.

hACCNIS09 in one *A. gentilis* and one *A. nisus*. For the lineages hACCNIS07, hACCNIS08, and hACCNIS09, blood smears were either not available or of insufficient quality.

DNA haplotype network

A DNA haplotype network based on the 478 bp cytb barcode sequences identified in the birds from Austria and France, is presented in Figure 4. The six Haemoproteus lineages clustered into four subclades, differing by up to 22 bp from each other. The genetic distance between the four groups ranged from 2.4% to 4.5%. The first group includes H. multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1, which was detected in 84 individuals (79 B. buteo and 5 A. nisus). The second group includes only Haemoproteus sp. hACCNIS07, which was found in single specimens of A. gentilis, A. nisus, and C. aeruginosus in Austria. The third group comprises Haemoproteus sp. hACCNIS08 and Haemoproteus sp. hACCNIS09, which were both found in single specimens of A. gentilis and A. nisus in Austria; the two lineages differ by 1 bp (0.2%) in the 478 bp cytb sequence. The last group includes H. nisi hACCNIS06, which was found in 26 Accipiter nisus from France and Austria, and H. nisi hCIAE08, which was found in 9 C. aeruginosus 1 B. buteo in Austria and France; the two cytb lineages differ by 3 bp (0.6%) from each other. The average genetic distance between the 478 bp cytb barcode sequence of lineages belonging to the H. nisi species group and other haemosporidian parasites (including other Haemoproteus species) was higher than 15%.

Complete mitochondrial cytb sequences

Complete cytb gene sequences were obtained for three of the Haemoproteus lineages: H. multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1, H. nisi hACCNIS06, and H. nisi hCIAE08. A total average of about 47,250 reads (minimum of 40,765 to a maximum of 54,480 reads) and an average coverage depth of about 7,400 reads (minimum of 6,630 to maximum of 8,110 reads) reads were obtained. The average molecular divergence between the complete cytb sequences of the H. nisi group and those of other haemosporidian parasites, including Haemoproteus spp., was congruent with the divergence of the cytb barcode sequence, with more than 15%. The cytb gene sequences (1100 bp) obtained from the French samples were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers OR078932, OR078933, and OR078934) and the MalAvi database. The cytb sequences (821 bp) obtained from the samples from Austria were also deposited in GenBank (accession numbers OR293360-OR293403) and the MalAvi database.

Complete mitochondrial genomes

Mitochondrial genomes of 5959 bp were obtained for both *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. hBUBT1 and *H. nisi* hACCNIS06. The mitochondrial genomes of the two parasite species diverged by 2.8%. They showed the same genome organisation commonly shared with mitochondrial genomes of other haemosporidian parasites, characterised by a linear element of \sim 6 kbp

Phylogenetic analyses

The phylogenetic trees were rooted with sequences of Klossiella equi (MH203050) and Klossia razorbacki (MT084562), whose mitochondrial genomes are most similar to those of haemosporidian parasites compared to those of apicomplexan parasites of other orders (Fig. 5). Haemoproteus multivacuolatus hBUBT1 and H. nisi hACCNIS06 formed a clade on a long branch with maximum support (BI posterior probability: 1.0/ ML bootstrap support: 100), which was part of a highly supported clade (0.99/93) including Haemoproteus (Haemoproteus), Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus), Leucocytozoon (Akiba) caulleryi, and Leucocytozoon. Within this clade, the H. nisi/H. multivacuolatus group clustered with Haemoproteus (Parahaemoproteus) in a subclade with moderate support (0.89/66), Haemoproteus (Haemoproteus) clustered with Leucocytozoon with high support (0.96/0.93), and L. (Akiba) caullervi formed a third subclade. In the additional phylogenetic trees reconstructed with Babesia gibsoni (AB499087) as outgroup (Fig. S1), Haemoproteus multivacuolatus hBUBT1 and H. nisi hACCNIS06 also formed a clade on a long branch with strong support (BI posterior probability: 1.0/ML bootstrap support: 100). This clade clustered with Haemoproteus and Parahaemoproteus parasite clade with low support (0.52/-).

Discussion

Despite several records of Haemoproteus infections in accipitriform raptors [18, 24, 29, 42, 51], these parasite lineages have not been characterised morphologically so far. For the present study, we screened 1080 accipitriform raptors from France, Austria, and Germany for Haemoproteus parasites. The sample from France included 77 Accipitridae of the species B. buteo, A. nisus, and C. aeruginosus, which were all previously confirmed positive for Haemoproteus infections based on blood smear screenings on a total of 413 Accipitridae birds (18.6%). The sample from Austria comprised 171 birds from 15 Accipitridae species, of which 42 individuals (24.6%) were infected with Haemoproteus parasites. The sample from Germany included 832 B. buteo nestlings, of which 69 (8.3%) were Haemoproteus-positive. Different methods were used to screen the samples from the three countries because they were originally part of three independent studies. However, the authors decided to combine the results because they covered the same subject.

The central aim of the present study was to gather new sequence data of these *Haemoproteus* parasites and to characterise the morphology of their blood stages. *Haemoproteus nisi*, which was previously reported mainly from *Accipiter* spp. and *Circus* spp. [53], was characterised molecularly and linked to the *cytb* lineages hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08. *Haemoproteus multivacuolatus* n. sp. was described and linked to the *cytb* lineage hBUBT1, which is common in *B. buteo*.

The development of new primers was essential because those used in the standard PCR protocol by Hellgren *et al.* [19] and most other published primer sets do not match the *cytb* of parasites belonging to the *H. nisi* species group. Since the original studies were conducted independently, three different protocols were used to sequence the *cytb* barcode region of parasites belonging to the *H. nisi* group.

It is important to note that co-infections with haemosporidian parasites of one genus or several genera are common in wildlife, which often results in an electropherogram containing double peaks that are hard to untangle when PCR products are sequenced directly using Sanger sequencing. Deep sequencing with Illumina or other NGS methods can help avoid this problem. Another option for avoiding the amplification of multiple lineages in parallel is using primers that specifically target certain groups of parasites. Both methods were successfully applied in the present study.

The PCR and sequencing protocol for the samples from France also detected co-infections with two *Plasmodium circumflexum* lineages, pTURDUS1 and pBT7, but blood stages of the latter were not detected by blood-film microscopy, probably due to low parasitaemia. *Plasmodium circumflexum* is a generalist pathogen that is widespread globally and was found particularly often in Paridae, but also in birds of other families and orders, including Accipitridae [59]. Although few molecular records (less than 30) of *P. circumflexum* pTURDUS and pBT7 were reported from Accipitridae hosts, these were two of the most frequently detected *Plasmodium* lineages in accipitriform raptors [18].

However, the focus of the present study was on parasites belonging to the H. nisi group, which were only detected in accipitriform raptors so far. In total, we identified six Haemoproteus lineages, three of which were common and almost host-specific. The lineage H. multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1 was mainly found in B. buteo, H. nisi hACCNIS06 in Accipiter nisus, and H. nisi hCIAE08 in C. aeruginosus. The other three lineages were found only in a few birds, Haemoproteus sp. hACCNIS07 in one A. nisus, one A. gentilis, and one C. aeruginosus in Austria (present study) and in A. nisus in Czechia [51] and Haemoproteus sp. hACCNIS08 and hACCNIS09 in one A. gentilis and one A. nisus in Austria (present study). Previously, sequences of H. nisi group parasites have been reported from Europe and Northern America [18, 24, 29, 43, 51], but in most cases the published sequences did not cover the entire 478 bp cytb barcode region. Ishak et al. [24] identified several different Haemoproteus lineages in accipitriform raptors from North America, which covered 242 bp of the cytb barcode region. Sequences identical to hBUBT1 in the 242 bp section were found in Buteo jamaicensis (FJ966919, FJ966924, FJ966925, FJ966926) and Accipiter cooperi (FJ966925) and were referred to as H. nisi. One A. cooperi (FJ966921) was infected with a parasite lineage identical to hCIAE08, and some A. cooperi (FJ966920, FJ966923) were infected with another lineage that would form a fifth subclade in the H. nisi group. It should be noted that the sequences matching hBUBT1 in the 242 bp MalAvi region belonged to four lineages differing by a single bp from each other in the remaining 270 bp. Outlaw and Ricklefs [43] also screened Buteo jamaicensis from the USA and sequenced a 291 bp section of *cytb*, which only covers 25 bp



Figure 5. Bayesian Inference tree calculated with complete *cox1* (1428 bp), *cox3* (753 bp), and *cytb* (1127 bp) sequences of haemosporidian parasites and *Klossiella equi* (MH203050) and *Klossia razorbacki* (MT084562) as the outgroup. Bayesian posterior probabilities and Maximum Likelihood bootstrap values are indicated at most nodes. The scale bar indicates the expected number of substitutions per site according to the model of sequence evolution applied.

of the DNA barcode region. Most of the sequences (GQ141607, GQ141611, GQ141613, GQ141615-GQ141617, and GQ141628) are identical to the complete cytb sequence of hBUBT1 generated in the present study. Two other sequences (GQ141628 and GQ141629) differ by 1 bp from hBUBT1, but are identical to a lineage (FJ966919) found in the same host species by Ishak et al. [24]. Outlaw and Ricklefs [43] did not assign these lineages to any of the known genera because of their uncertain phylogenetic position. Ishak et al. [24] referred to hBUBT1 and related lineages as H. elani due to the similarity of gametocytes, which is probably not correct because this parasite species was originally described from Elanus caeruleus in western India by de Mello [34] and is likely a distinct parasite species. Krone et al. [29] and Harl et al. [18] found hBUBT1 in B. buteo from Germany and Austria, respectively, but morphological analyses were not conducted.

Haemoproteus multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1 can be readily distinguished from other haemoproteids parasitising accipitriform birds, particularly because the fully grown gametocytes are circumnuclear, which markedly enlarges the infected erythrocytes (Figs. 3h, 3l). The proportion of young and growing gametocytes depends on the stage of parasitaemia, and the fully grown gametocytes are not always available in wildlife samples. This is an obstacle for distinguishing H. multivacuolatus n. sp. hBUBT1 from H. elani and H. buteonis. Molecular data (Fig. 4) show that H. multivacuolatus n. sp. predominates in B. buteo. Haemoproteus buteonis is rare in this bird species. The latter parasite also infects A. nisus [53, 58]. Haemoproteus buteonis was synonymised with H. elani by Peirce et al. [44], but Valkiūnas [53] suggested considering H. buteonis a distinct species until the variability of gametocytes is studied further. Molecular characterisation of both species is still absent. Redescription and molecular characterisation of H. elani is needed, preferably from its vertebrate typehost the black-winged kite E. caeruleus sampled close to the type locality in Goa, India.

In the present study, the *cytb* lineages hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08 were linked to the morphospecies *H. nisi*. The lineage hACCNIS06 was first detected in Czechia (ON375836) in *A. nisus* [51], but a combined molecular and morphological characterisation has not been done so far. The sequences of the latter study were not included in the DNA haplotype networks (Fig. 4) because they did not cover the entire *cytb* barcode region. In France and Austria, hACCNIS06 was also exclusively recorded in *A. nisus*. According to Valkiūnas [53], *H. nisi* has been found in a variety of *Accipiter* spp., *Circus* spp., and a few species of other genera. Ishak *et al.* [24] found a parasite lineage in *A. cooperii* in the United States that differed by 2 bp (0.4%) and 3 bp (0.6%) from hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08 in the 512 bp *cytb* fragment published (FJ966921, covering only 242 bp of the DNA barcode sequence.

Lineage hCIAE08 was recently reported from *C. aeruginosus* in Austria [18], and referred to as *H.* aff. *elani* but the morphology of the blood stages could not be studied. In this study, gametocytes of the lineage hCIAE08 were observed in two *C. aeruginosus* individuals and were attributed to the *H. nisi* group as a morphological variant. This parasite, which morphologically resembles *H. nisi* and is genetically similar, is referred to as a variant belonging to the *H. nisi* group at this stage of

research. The two lineages only differ by 3 bp (0.6%) in the *cytb* barcode sequence, but were found in different host species, suggesting that they might belong to two separate parasite species. To clarify the taxonomic status of the parasite lineage hCIAE08, more information on the biology (blood and tissue stages, vectors) and nuclear markers are needed. The lack of data about vectors, sporogony, and exo-erythrocytic development of *Haemoproteus* parasites infecting accipitriform birds is problematic and this information would be crucial to better understand the true pathogenicity of these parasites [10].

The results of the present and previous molecular studies indicate that the diversity of *Haemoproteus* species in accipitriform raptors is larger than previously described using the traditional taxonomy characters based on morphology of blood stages. The majority of *cytb* lineages are not yet linked to morphotypes and might also represent distinct parasite species. This study shows that *H. nisi* is probably a group of closely related species or subspecies, which currently consist of the two lineages hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08.

The development of new diagnostic tools is essential to detect highly molecularly divergent parasites that might be undetectable by commonly used conventional tools [17]. Thus, it is likely that there are other parasites that remain undetected. In this case, the use of microscopy remains a useful diagnostic tool which allows the detection of a diversity of parasites not detectable with the available molecular tools and thus allows the design of appropriate diagnostic approaches to target these new pathogens.

The available molecular data show the presence of at least five distinct groups (or subclades) of *Haemoproteus* parasites in accipitriform raptors. The first group comprises *H. multivacuolatus* n. sp. hBUBT1 and a few similar *cytb* lineages (FJ966919, FJ966926, FJ966924) mostly found in *Buteo* spp. The second group includes the two *H. nisi* lineages hACCNIS06 and hCIAE08 (and FJ966921), which were mostly found in *Accipiter* spp. and *Circus* spp. The third group includes hACCNIS07 from *Accipiter* spp. and *Circus* spp., the fourth comprises hACCNIS08 and hACCNIS09 from *Accipiter* spp., and the fifth includes two lineages (FJ966920 and FJ966923) from *A. cooperi*. The morphology of parasites belonging to the latter three groups has not yet been assessed.

Haemoproteus parasites from accipitriform raptors form a unique phylogenetic clade, which seems to be specific to this bird order. These cytb sequences diverge by more than 15% from all other known Haemoproteus, Plasmodium, and Leucocytozoon lineages. The molecular divergence has led to the erroneous suggestion about possible attribution of these cytb lineages to Plasmodium parasites [29] or non-assignment to any of the three common avian genera [18, 43, 51]. A divergence of 15% in *cytb* is observed elsewhere between parasites of different genera. Thus, parasites of the H. nisi group might be classified as a separate subgenus or even genus when more information on their biology becomes available. Until more data are available on the formation of exo-erythrocytic stages in the bird hosts and on development and transmission in dipteran vectors, the current taxonomic status of these parasites is retained. Our study calls for this type of basic parasitology research, which might add new data on haemosporidian parasite biology and generate taxonomic characters. This could be used to develop classification within the order Haemosporida. Studies screening a broader range of potential host species and more individuals are needed to better understand the diversity, intraspecific genetic variation, host-parasite relationships, and geographical distribution of these *Haemoproteus* parasites.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to all the volunteers at the Hôpital de la Faune Sauvage des Garrigues et Cévennes. NC would like to thank Oliver Krüger, Prisca Viehoever and all helpers in the field and the laboratories for assisting in gathering and analysis of the German buzzard samples. This work benefited from equipment and services from the iGenSeq core facility, at ICM, CHU Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris - France, from Genom'IC from Cochin Institut and from the Service de Systématique Moléculaire (SSM), part of the Service Unit Acquisition et Analyse de Données pour l'Histoire Naturelle (2AD) (UMS2700) at the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris - France. Sampling and processing of the German samples was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), as part of the SFB TRR 212 (NC³) - project numbers 316099922 and 396780709 and DFG project number 398434413 to NC. This work was also supported by the DFG Research Infrastructure NGS_CC (project 407493903) as part of the Next Generation Sequencing Competence Network (project 423957469). Some of the NGS analyses were carried out at the production site West German Genome Center of Düsseldorf and Cologne, Germany. NC received DFG grant 433069365 as part of the DFG Sequencing call 2. Josef Harl was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [grant P 33480].

Supplementary Files

The Supplementary materials of this article are available at https://www.parasite-journal.org/10.1051/parasite/2023066/olm.

Figure S1: Bayesian Inference tree calculated with complete *cox1* (1412 bp), *cox3* (639 bp), and *cytb* (1083 bp) sequences of haemosporidian parasites and *Babesia gibsoni* (AB499087) as the outgroup. Bayesian posterior probabilities and Maximum Likelihood bootstrap values are indicated at most nodes. The scale bar indicates the expected number of substitutions per site according to the model of sequence evolution applied.

References

- Anjos CC, Chagas CRF, Fecchio A, Schunck F, Costa-Nascimento MJ, Monteiro EF, Mathias BS, Bell JA, Guimarães LO, Comiche KJM, Valkiūnas G, Kirchgatter K. 2021. Avian malaria and related parasites from resident and migratory birds in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, with description of a new *Haemoproteus* species. Pathogens, 10, 103.
- Barino GTM, Rossi MF, de Oliveira L, Reis Junior JL, D'Agosto M, Dias RJP. 2021. *Haemoproteus syrnii* (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae) in owls from Brazil: morphological and molecular characterization, potential cryptic species, and exo-erythrocytic stages. Parasitology Research, 120, 243–255.
- Bastien M, Jaeger A, Le Corre M, Tortosa P, Lebarbenchon C. 2014. *Haemoproteus iwa* in great frigatebirds (*Fregata minor*) in the islands of the Western Indian Ocean. PLoS One, 9, e97185.
- Bennett GF, Garnham PC, Fallis AM. 1965. On the status of the genera Leukocytozoon Zieman, 1898 and Haemoproteus Kruse, 1890 (Haemosporidiida: Leucocytozoidae and Haemoproteidae). Canadian Journal of Zoology, 43, 927–932.
- Bennett GF, Campbell AG. 1972. Avian Haemoproteidae. I. Description of *Haemoproteus fallisi* n. sp. and a review of the haemoproteids of the family Turdidae. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 50, 1269–1275.
- Bensch S, Stjernman M, Hasselquist D, Ostman O, Hansson B, Westerdahl H, Pinheiro RT. 2000. Host specificity in avian blood parasites: a study of *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* mitochondrial DNA amplified from birds. Proceedings of Biological Sciences, 267, 1583–1589.
- Bensch S, Hellgren O, Pérez-Tris J. 2009. MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related Haemosporidians in avian hosts based on

mitochondrial cytochrome b lineages. Molecular Ecology Resources, 9, 1353–1358.

- Bensch S, Hellgren O. 2020. The use of molecular methods in studies of avian Haemosporidians, in Avian malaria and related parasites in the tropics: ecology, evolution and systematics. Santiago-Alarcon D, Marzal A, Editors. Springer. p. 113–136.
- Bertram MR, Hamer SA, Hartup BK, Snowden KF, Medeiros MC, Outlaw DC, Hamer GL. 2017. A novel Haemosporida clade at the rank of genus in North American cranes (Aves: Gruiformes). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 109, 73–79.
- Bernotienė R, Žiegytė R, Vaitkutė G, Valkiūnas G. 2019. Identification of a new vector species of avian haemoproteids, with a description of methodology for the determination of natural vectors of haemosporidian parasites. Parasites & Vectors, 12, 307.
- Borner J, Pick C, Thiede J, Kolawole OM, Kingsley MT, Schulze J, Cottontail VM, Wellinghausen N, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Bruchhaus I, Burmester T. 2016. Phylogeny of haemosporidian blood parasites revealed by a multi-gene approach. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 94, 221–231.
- Chakarov N, Linke B, Boerner M, Goesmann A, Krüger O, Hoffman JI. 2015. Apparent vector-mediated parent-to-offspring transmission in an avian malaria-like parasite. Molecular Ecology, 24, 1355–1363.
- Clark NJ, Clegg SM, Lima MR. 2014. A review of global diversity in avian haemosporidians (*Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus*: Haemosporida): new insights from molecular data. International Journal for Parasitology, 44, 329–338.
- Fecchio A, Chagas CRF, Bell JA, Kirchgatter K. 2020. Evolutionary ecology, taxonomy, and systematics of avian malaria and related parasites. Acta Tropica, 204, 105364.
- Galen SC, Borner J, Martinsen ES, Schaer J, Austin CC, West CJ, Perkins SL. 2018. Comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of the malaria parasites (order Haemosporida) reveal widespread taxonomic conflict. Royal Society Open Science, 5, 171780.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. In Nucleic Acids Symposium Series, 41, 95–98.
- Hanel J, Doležalová J, Stehlíková Š, Modrý D, Chudoba J, Synek P, Votýpka J. 2016. Blood parasites in northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*) with an emphasis to *Leucocytozoon toddi*. Parasitology Research, 115, 263–270.
- Harl J, Himmel Tanja, Valkiūnas G, Ilgūnas M, Nedorost N, Matt J, Kübber-Heiss A, Alic A, Konicek C, Weissenböck H. 2022. Avian haemosporidian parasites of accipitriform raptors. Malaria Journal, 21, 14.
- Hellgren O, Waldenström J, Bensch S. 2004. A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of *Leucocytozoon*, *Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood. Journal of Parasitology, 90, 797–802.
- Hellgren O, Krizanauskiene A, Valkiūnas G, Bensch S. 2007. Diversity and phylogeny of mitochondrial cytochrome B lineages from six morphospecies of avian *Haemoproteus* (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae). Journal of Parasitology, 93, 889–896.
- Hikosaka K, Kita K, Tanabe K. 2013. Diversity of mitochondrial genome structure in the phylum Apicomplexa. Molecular Biochemical Parasitology, 188, 26–33.
- Huang X, Rapševičius P, Chapa-Vargas L, Hellgren O, Bensch S. 2019. Within-lineage divergence of avian Haemosporidians: A case study to reveal the origin of a widespread *Haemoproteus* parasite. Journal of Parasitology, 105, 414–422.
- Ilgūnas M, Himmel T, Harl J, Dagys M, Valkiūnas G, Weissenböck H. 2022. Exo-erythrocytic development of avian Haemosporidian parasites in European owls. Animals, 28, 2212.
- Ishak HD, Loiseau C, Hull AC, Sehgal RNM. 2010. Prevalence of blood parasites in migrating and wintering California hawks. Journal of Raptor Research, 44, 215–223.
- 25. Ishtiaq F, Rao M, Huang X, Bensch S. 2017. Estimating prevalence of avian haemosporidians in natural populations: a comparative study on screening protocols. Parasites & Vectors, 10, 127.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology & Evolution, 30, 772–780.

- Karadjian G, Hassanin A, Saintpierre B, Gembu Tungaluna GC, Ariey F, Ayala FJ, Landau I, Duval L. 2016. Highly rearranged mitochondrial genome in *Nycteria* parasites (Haemosporidia) from bats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 113, 9834–9839.
- Kovács A, Mammen UCC, Wernham CV. 2008. European monitoring for raptors and owls: state of the art and future needs. Ambio, 37, 408–412.
- Krone O, Waldenström J, Valkiūnas G, Lessow O, Müller K, Iezhova TA, Fickel J, Bensch S. 2008. Haemosporidian blood parasites in European birds of prey and owls. Journal of Parasitology, 94, 709–715.
- Levine ND, Campbell GR. 1971. A check-list of the species of the genus Haemoproteus (Apicomplexa, Plasmodiidae). Journal of Protozoology, 18, 475–484.
- Levin II, Valkiūnas G, Iezhova TA, O'Brien SL, Parker PG. 2012. Novel *Haemoproteus* species (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae) from the swallow-tailed gull (Lariidae), with remarks on the host range of hippoboscid-transmitted avian hemoproteids. Journal of Parasitology, 98, 847–854.
- Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform. Bioinformatics, 25, 1754–1760.
- Matoso RV, Cedrola F, Barino GTM, Dias RJP, Rossi MF, D'Agosto M. 2021. New morphological and molecular data for *Haemoproteus* (H.) paramultipigmentatus in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. Parasitology International, 84, 102375.
- de Mello IF. 1935. New haemoproteids of some Indian birds. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 2, 469–475.
- Merino S, Hennicke J, Martínez J, Ludynia K, Torres R, Work TM, Stroud S, Masello JF, Quillfeldt P. 2012. Infection by *Haemoproteus* parasites in four species of frigatebirds and the description of a new species of *Haemoproteus* (Haemosporida: Haemoproteidae). Journal of Parasitology, 98, 388–397.
- Meyer M, Kircher M. 2010. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols, 6, 5448.
- 37. McClure CJW, Westrip JRS, Johnson JA, Schulwitz SE, Virani MZ, Davies Symes A, Wheatley H, Thorstrom R, Amar A, Buij R, Jones VR, Williams NP, Buechley ER, Butchart SHM. 2018. State of the world's raptors: Distributions, threats, and conservation recommendations. Biological Conservation, 227, 390–402.
- 38. Morel AP, Webster A, Prusch F, Anicet M, Marsicano G, Trainini G, Stocker J, Giani D, Bandarra PM, da Rocha MIS, Zitelli LC, Umeno KA, Souza UA, Dall'Agnol B, Reck J. 2021. Molecular detection and phylogenetic relationship of Haemosporida parasites in free-ranging wild raptors from Brazil. Veterinary Parasitology, Regional Studies and Reports, 23, 100521.
- Negro JJ, Rodríguez-Rodríguez EJ, Rodríguez A, Bildstein K. 2022. Generation of raptor diversity in Europe: linking speciation with climate changes and the ability to migrate. PeerJ, 10, e14505.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximumlikelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology Evolution, 32, 268–274.
- Nilsson E, Taubert H, Hellgren O, Huang X, Palinauskas V, Markovets MY, Valkiūnas G, Bensch S. 2016. Multiple cryptic species of sympatric generalists within the avian blood parasite *Haemoproteus majoris*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 29, 1812–1826.
- 42. Nourani L, Aliabadian M, Mirshamsi O, Dinparast Djadid N. 2022. Prevalence of co-infection and genetic diversity of avian haemosporidian parasites in two rehabilitation facilities in Iran: implications for the conservation of captive raptors. BMC Ecology and Evolution, 22, 114.
- Outlaw DC, Ricklefs RE. 2009. On the phylogenetic relationships of haemosporidian parasites from raptorial birds (Falconiformes and Strigiformes). Journal of Parasitology, 95, 1171–1176.
- Peirce MA, Bennett GF, Bishop M. 1990. The haemoproteids of the avian order Falconiformes. Journal of Natural History, 24, 1091–1100.
- Pornpanom P, Kasorndorkbua C, Lertwatcharasarakul P, Salakij C. 2021. Prevalence and genetic diversity of *Haemoproteus* and *Plasmod*-

ium in raptors from Thailand: Data from rehabilitation center. International Journal for Parasitology, Parasites and Wildlife, 16, 75–82.

- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011. Integrative Genomics Viewer. Nature Biotechnology, 29, 24–26.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MRBAYES 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model selection across a large model space. Systematic Biology, 61, 539–542.
- Sehgal RNM. 2015. Manifold habitat effects on the prevalence and diversity of avian blood parasites. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 4, 421–430.
- 49. Shokralla S, Porter TM, Gibson JF, Dobosz R, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Golding GB, Hajibabaei M. 2015. Massively parallel multiplex DNA sequencing for specimen identification using an Illumina MiSeq platform. Scientific Reports, 5, 9687.
- Svobodová M, Weidinger K, Peške L, Volf P, Votýpka J, Voříšek P. 2015. Trypanosomes and haemosporidia in the buzzard (*Buteo buteo*) and sparrowhawk (*Accipiter nisus*): factors affecting the prevalence of parasites. Parasitology Research, 114, 551–560.
- 51. Svobodová M, Čepička I, Zídková L, Kassahun A, Votýpka J, Peške L, Hrazdilová K, Brzoňová J, Voříšek P, Weidinger K. 2023. Blood parasites (*Trypanosoma, Leucocytozoon, Haemoproteus*) in the Eurasian sparrowhawk (*Accipiter nisus*): diversity, incidence and persistence of infection at the individual level. Parasites & Vectors, 16, 15.
- Toscani Field J, Weinberg J, Bensch S, Matta NE, Valkiūnas G, Sehgal RNM. 2018. Delineation of the genera *Haemoproteus* and *Plasmodium* using RNA-Seq and multi-gene phylogenetics. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 86, 646–654.
- Valkiūnas G. 2005. Avian malaria parasites and other haemosporidia, 1st edn. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida.
- 54. Valkiūnas G, Ilgūnas M, Bukauskaitė D, Iezhova TA. 2016. Description of *Haemoproteus ciconiae* sp. nov. (Haemoproteidae, Haemosporida) from the white stork *Ciconia ciconia*, with remarks on insensitivity of established polymerase chain reaction assays to detect this infection. Parasitology Research, 7, 2609–2616.
- Valkiūnas G, Ilgūnas M, Bukauskaitė D, Fragner K, Weissenböck H, Atkinson CT, Iezhova TA. 2018. Characterization of *Plasmodium relictum*, a cosmopolitan agent of avian malaria. Malaria Journal, 17, 184.
- 56. Valkiūnas G, Ilgūnas M, Bukauskaitė D, Chagas CRF, Bernotienė R, Himmel T, Harl J, Weissenböck H, Iezhova TA. 2019. Molecular characterization of six widespread avian haemoproteids, with description of three new *Haemoproteus* species. Acta Tropica, 197, 105051.
- Valkiūnas G, Ilgūnas M, Chagas CRF, Bernotienė R, Iezhova TA. 2020. Molecular characterization of swallow haemoproteids, with description of one new *Haemoproteus* species. Acta Tropica, 207, 105486.
- Valkiūnas G, Iezhova TA. 2022. Keys to the avian *Haemoproteus* parasites (Haemosporida, Haemoproteidae). Malaria Journal, 21, 269.
- Valkiūnas G, Duc M, Iezhova TA. 2022. Increase of avian *Plasmodium circumflexum* prevalence, but not of other malaria parasites and related haemosporidians in northern Europe during the past 40 years. Malaria Journal, 21, 105.
- 60. Vanstreels RET, Dos Anjos CC, Leandro HJ, Carvalho AM, Santos AP, Egert L, Hurtado R, Carvalho ECQ, Braga ÉM, Kirchgatter K. 2022. A new haemosporidian parasite from the Red-legged *Seriema Cariama cristata* (Cariamiformes, Cariamidae). International Journal for Parasitology, Parasites and Wildlife, 18, 12–19.
- Wingstrand KG. 1947. On some haematozoa of Swedish birds with remarks on the schizogony of *Leucocytozoon sakharoffi*. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar, 24, 1–31.
- Žiegytė R, Bernotienė R, Palinauskas V. 2022. Culicoides segnis and Culicoides pictipennis Biting midges (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae), New reported vectors of Haemoproteus parasites. Microorganisms, 10, 898.

Cite this article as: Harl J, Fauchois A, Puech M-P, Gey D, Ariey F, Izac B, Weissenböck H, Chakarov N, Iezhova T, Valkiūnas G & Duval L. 2024. Novel phylogenetic clade of avian *Haemoproteus* parasites (Haemosporida, Haemoproteidae) from Accipitridae raptors, with description of a new *Haemoproteus* species. Parasite **31**, 5.



An international open-access, peer-reviewed, online journal publishing high quality papers on all aspects of human and animal parasitology

Reviews, articles and short notes may be submitted. Fields include, but are not limited to: general, medical and veterinary parasitology; morphology, including ultrastructure; parasite systematics, including entomology, acarology, helminthology and protistology, and molecular analyses; molecular biology and biochemistry; immunology of parasitic diseases; host-parasite relationships; ecology and life history of parasites; epidemiology; therapeutics; new diagnostic tools.

All papers in Parasite are published in English. Manuscripts should have a broad interest and must not have been published or submitted elsewhere. No limit is imposed on the length of manuscripts.

Parasite (open-access) continues Parasite (print and online editions, 1994-2012) and Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée (1923-1993) and is the official journal of the Société Française de Parasitologie.

Editor-in-Chief: Jean-Lou Justine, Paris Submit your manuscript at http://parasite.edmgr.com/